UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
TOMMIE LEE BAKER,	Case No. 1:14-cv-01020-AWI-MJS (PC)
Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING AS MOOT PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SERVICE
٧.	DOCUMENTS
J. ALVA, et al.,	(ECF No. 14)
Defendant(s).	
7 Plaintiff Tommie Lee Baker is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this	
⁸ civil rights action filed June 30, 2014 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On September 17,	
2014, the undersigned issued Findings and Recommendations that Plaintiff proceed	
with his failure to protect claim against Defendants Alva, Mendoza, Franco and	
O'Daniels, that he initiate service on these Defendants and that service documents be	
issued to Plaintiff.	
⁴ On September 29, 2014, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting the service documents	
⁵ referenced in the Findings and Recommendations.	
6 On October 29, 2014, the District Judge assigned to this case adopted in full the	
Findings and Recommendations, ordering initiation of service on Defendants Alva,	
1	
	EASTERN DISTRIC TOMMIE LEE BAKER, Plaintiff, v. J. ALVA, et al., Defendant(s). Plaintiff Tommie Lee Baker is proceed civil rights action filed June 30, 2014 pursuar 2014, the undersigned issued Findings and F with his failure to protect claim against Defer O'Daniels, that he initiate service on these D issued to Plaintiff. On September 29, 2014, Plaintiff filed referenced in the Findings and Recommenda On October 29, 2014, the District Judg Findings and Recommendations, ordering in

I

1	Mendoza, Franco and O'Daniels, directing the Court Clerk to provide Plaintiff with
2	service documents and denying as moot Plaintiff's September 29, 2014 motion
3	requesting service documents.

Before the Court is Plaintiff's October 31, 2014 motion requesting service
documents referenced in the Findings and Recommendations. The October 31, 2014
motion is unnecessary. The October 29, 2014 Order Adopting Findings and
Recommendations directed the Clerk to send Plaintiff service documents. The Findings
and Recommendations for initiation of service and issuance of service documents
became effective upon adoption by the District Judge. (See ECF No. 11 at 8:1-10.)

Accordingly, for the reasons stated, Plaintiff's October 31, 2014 motion requesting service documents (ECF No. 14) is DENIED as moot.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

November 7, 2014 Dated:

Ist Michael J. Seng

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE