1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DAVID ROMERO,	No. 1:14-cv-01062-SKO
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER DISMISSING WITH PREJUDICE PLAINTIFF'S CLAIM UNDER 42 U.S.C. §
14	JAMES YATES; CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL OFFICER CHRIS	1983 FOR VIOLATION S OF HIS FIRST, FIFTH, AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT
15	LANCASTER; CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL OFFICER EFRAIN	RIGHTS, AND DIRECTING THE CLERK TO TERMINATE DEFENDANTS CHRIS
16	JIMENEZ; AND CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL OFFICER JAVIER	LANCASTER AND JAVIER RUVALCABA
17	RUVALCABA,	(Doc. No. 45)
18	Defendants.	
19		
20		
21	On March 15, 2017, Plaintiff David Romero and Defendants James Yates, and California	
22	Highway Patrol Officers Efrain Jimenez, Chris Lancaster, and Javier Ruvalcaba filed their	
23	"Stipulation for Dismissal of Defendants California Highway Patrol Officers Chris Lancaster and	
24	Javier Ruvalcaba and Certain Claims with Prejudice" (the "Stipulation"). (Doc. No. 45.) In view	
25	of the Stipulation, Plaintiff's claim arising under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 for violations of his First, Fifth	
26	and Fourteenth Amendment rights is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE. See Fed. R. Civ. P.	
27	41(a)(1)(A)(ii).	
28	//	
	1	

	\mathbf{I}	
1	Furthermore, pursuant to the Stipulation, this action has been terminated as to Defendants	
2	Chris Lancaster and Javier Ruvalcaba, see id.; Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th	
3	Cir. 1997), and has been DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE as to those defendants. Accordingly,	
4	the Clerk of the Court is directed to TERMINATE Defendants Chris Lancaster and Javier	
5	Ruvalcaba.	
6	The case shall remain OPEN pending resolution of Plaintiff's case against the remaining	
7	defendants.	
8		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated: March 16, 2017 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto	
11	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
2627		
28		
40		