

1 Plaintiff filed objections to the findings and recommendations on September 1, 2016. (Doc. No.
2 19.)

3 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this
4 court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file,
5 including plaintiff's objections, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported
6 by the record and proper analysis.

7 Accordingly,

- 8 1. The July 29, 2016 findings and recommendations (Doc. No. 16) are adopted in
9 full;
- 10 2. This action now proceeds on the following claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 in
11 plaintiff's first amended complaint (Doc. No. 12): (1) against defendant Michael
12 Vu based on alleged violations of substantive and procedural due process, and (2)
13 against defendants I. Guerra and A. Salzman based on alleged failure to provide
14 medical treatment in the form of physical therapy; and
- 15 3. All remaining claims and defendants are dismissed from this action.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 Dated: January 6, 2017

18 
19 _____
20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28