

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GREGORY ELL SHEHEE,
Plaintiff,
v.
KIM NGUYEN, et al.,
Defendant.

1:14-cv-01154-LJO-DLB (PC)
ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
(Document# 11)

On January 26, 2015, plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the court cannot require an attorney to represent plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). However, in certain exceptional circumstances the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to section 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525.

Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the court will seek volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether “exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate both the likelihood of success of the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims *pro se* in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved.” Id. (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

In the present case, the Court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Plaintiff argues that counsel should be appointed because he suffers from impaired vision. This alone does not make Plaintiff's case exceptional under the Ninth Circuit's standards discussed above.

At this early stage in the proceedings, based on the Court's record, the Court does not find that Plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims or respond to the Court's orders. Plaintiff is advised that he is not precluded from renewing the motion for appointment of counsel at a later stage of the proceedings.

For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY DENIED, without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 29, 2015

/s/ Dennis L. Beck
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE