28

On May 15, 2017, Plaintiff filed his objections. (ECF No. 65.) They have been considered and found to lack merit. As Plaintiff has previously been informed, he may not use the pendency of the instant lawsuit to raise new, unrelated claims against new parties. Therefore, in accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and <u>6</u> Local Rule 304, the Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The Court adopts the findings and recommendations filed on May 5, 2017 (ECF No. 64) in full; 2. Plaintiff's motion for miscellaneous relief (ECF No. 62) is DENIED. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill Dated: **July 5, 2017** UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE