

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
7

8 PHILLIP T. RICKER,

9 Plaintiff,

10 vs.

11 SGT. A. NADAL, et al.,

12 Defendants.  
13

1:14-cv-01172-LJO-GSA-PC

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO  
PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS  
AND MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT  
OF COUNSEL AS MOOT  
(Docs. 8, 10.)

14 On July 30, 2014, Phillip T. Ricker ("Plaintiff") filed a motion to proceed in forma  
15 pauperis, and on August 6, 2014, Plaintiff filed a motion for appointment of counsel. (Docs. 8,  
16 10.) In light of the fact that Plaintiff was denied leave to proceed in forma pauperis and this  
17 case was dismissed on July 30, 2014, Plaintiff's motions are moot.

18 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 19 1. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis, filed on July 30, 2014, is  
20 DENIED as moot; and  
21 2. Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel, filed on August 6, 2014, is  
22 DENIED as moot.  
23

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: August 29, 2014

/s/ Gary S. Austin  
26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
27  
28