

1  
2  
3  
4 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
5 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
6

7 ALICIA RAMIREZ,  
8 Plaintiff,  
9 v.  
10 COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL  
11 SECURITY,  
12 Defendant.

Case No. 1:14-cv-1176-SAB

ORDER REQUIRING DEFENDANT TO  
SHOW CAUSE WHY PLAINTIFF'S SOCIAL  
SECURITY APPEAL SHOULD NOT BE  
DEEMED UNOPPOSED

TEN-DAY DEADLINE

13 On March 26, 2015, an order issued granting the parties stipulation to extend time for  
14 briefing. Pursuant to the stipulation, Plaintiff's opening brief was due on May 19, 2015;  
15 Defendant's opposition brief was due on June 18, 2015; and Plaintiff's reply was due July 6,  
16 2015. (ECF No. 12.) Plaintiff filed her opening brief on May 20, 2015. (ECF No. 13.)  
17 Although the stipulated date has passed, Defendant has not filed an opposition brief.

18 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within ten (10) days from the date of  
19 service of this order, Defendant shall either file a written response to this order to show cause  
20 why Plaintiff's opening brief should not be deemed unopposed or file an opposition to Plaintiff's  
21 opening brief.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23  
24 Dated: July 1, 2015

  
25  
26  
27  
28  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE