1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
<u>6</u>	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8	JAMAR R. HEARNS,	CASE NO. 1:14-cv-01177-DAD-MJS (PC)
9	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR COURT ORDER
10	V.	(ECF NO. 29)
11	R. GONZALES, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13 14	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action	
15	pursuant 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On January 25, 2016, Plaintiff submitted necessary	
16	documents to effectuate service on Defendants Gonzales and Olsen. The Court	
17	thereafter directed the United States Marshal to serve these two Defendants. (ECF No.	
18	32.) Pending is Plaintiff's motion for court order to serve Defendant Olsen. (ECF No. 29.)	
19	Because the Court's most recent order has already accomplished that, Plaintiff's motion	
20	will be denied.	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for court order (ECF	
22	No. 29) is DENIED as moot.	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
24	II IS SU UKDEKED.	
25	Dated: <u>January 31, 2016</u>	1st Michael J. Seng
26		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		