

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

RODNEY O’NEAL BROWN,)	Case No.: 1:14-cv-01184-LJO-BAM (PC)
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR
)	CHIEF DISTRICT COURT JUDGE TO TAKE
JEFFREY BROWN, et al.,)	JUDICIAL NOTICE OF PLAINTIFF’S AMENDED
Defendants.)	CIVIL RIGHTS COMPLAINT
)	(ECF No. 22)
)	
)	
)	

Plaintiff Rodney O’Neal Brown (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff initiated this action on July 29, 2014. Plaintiff filed his first amended complaint on February 2, 2015. (ECF No. 18.)

On March 11, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion to vacate his consent to the undersigned Magistrate Judge due to the failure to screen his complaint. (ECF No. 20.) By order dated March 16, 2015, the Court denied the motion, finding that Plaintiff’s arguments about the delay in screening and his request to withdraw consent both lacked merit. In so doing, the Court explained that it had not unreasonably delayed screening his amended complaint, which had been filed six weeks prior. The Court also informed Plaintiff that the Eastern District of California maintains one of the heaviest caseloads in the nation, which might result in some delay in individual matters. (ECF No. 21.)

On March 19, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting that the Chief District Court Judge take judicial notice of his amended complaint and screen it pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. Plaintiff

1 asserted that the delay in screening his complaint was egregious, unconstitutional and a denial of
2 access to the courts. (ECF No. 22.)

3 On May 18, 2015, the undersigned Magistrate Judge screened Plaintiff's first amended
4 complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. The Court dismissed the complaint and granted Plaintiff
5 leave to amend within thirty days. (ECF No. 24.)

6 As the Court has screened Plaintiff's first amended complaint, his request for judicial notice
7 and screening by the Chief District Court Judge is no longer necessary and is HEREBY DENIED as
8 moot.

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated: May 21, 2015

11 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE