| 1 | | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STAT | ES DISTRICT COURT |
| 9 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | AVERY HYPOLITE, |) Case No.: 1:14-cv-01199-LJO-SAB (PC) |
| 12 | Plaintiff, |)) FINDINGS AND DECOMMENDATION |
| 13 | v. | FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION RECOMMENDING DISMISSAL OF DEFENDANT N. SCHULTZ PURSUANT TO |
| 14 | R. ZAMORA, et al., |) RULE 4(M) OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF) CIVIL PROCEDURE |
| 15 | Defendants. |) [ECF Nos. 23, 24] |
| 16 | |) |
| 17 | Plaintiff Avery Hypolite is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action | |
| 18 | pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. | |
| 19 | This action is proceeding against Defendant Zamora for use of excessive force and against | |
| 20 | Defendant Schultz for failure to intervene in violation of the Eighth Amendment. | |
| 21 | On September 16, 2015, the United States marshal returned the USM-285 form unexecuted as | |
| 22 | to Defendant Schultz. (ECF No. 23.) On September 18, 2015, the Court issued an order for Plaintiff | |
| 23 | to show cause within thirty days as to why Defendant N. Schultz should not be dismissed from the | |
| 24 | action pursuant to Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. (ECF No. 24.) More than thirty | |
| 25 | days have passed and Plaintiff has not responded to the Court's September 18, 2015, order. | |
| 26 | /// | |
| 27 | /// | |
| 28 | /// | |
| | | 1 |

Π

| 1 | Accordingly, it is HEREBY RECOMMENDED that Defendant N. Schultz be DISMISSED | |
|----|--|--|
| 2 | from the action pursuant to Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. | |
| 3 | This Findings and Recommendation will be submitted to the United States District Judge | |
| 4 | assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within thirty (30) days after | |
| 5 | being served with this Findings and Recommendation, the parties may file written objections with the | |
| 6 | Court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and | |
| 7 | Recommendation." The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may | |
| 8 | result in the waiver of rights on appeal. <u>Wilkerson v. Wheeler</u> , 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014) | |
| 9 | (citing <u>Baxter v. Sullivan</u> , 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991)). | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 12 | Dated: November 10, 2015 | |
| 13 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | 2 | |