1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	GILBERTO DIAZ-SANCHEZ,	No. 1:14-cv-01204-DAD-SKO
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	JEFFREY BEARD,	RECOMMENDATIONS AND GRANTING MOTION FOR STAY AND ABEYANCE
15	Respondent.	(Doc. No. 69)
16		
17	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding with counsel with this petition for writ of habeas	
18	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was to a United States Magistrate Judge	
19	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Rules 302 and 304.	
20	On June 15, 2018, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations	
21	recommending that the court grant petitioner's motion for modification of the pending stay and	
22	abeyance of this action. The findings and recommendations, which were served on the parties on	
23	the same date, provided that objections could be filed within thirty days. Although thirty days	
24	have passed, no objections have been filed.	
25	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), having carefully reviewed	
26	the entire file <i>de novo</i> , the undersigned concludes that the findings and recommendations are	
27	supported by the record and proper analysis.	
28	/////	

Accordingly: 1. The findings and recommendations issued June 15, 2018 (Doc. No. 69) are adopted in full; 2. Petitioner's motion for modification of stay and abeyance to permit exhaustion of unexhausted claims pursuant to Rhines v. Weber, 544 U.S. 269, 275 (1995), filed on May 29, 2018 (Doc. No. 68), is granted; 3. Petitioner shall continue to file status reports with this court regarding the status of his exhaustion petition every ninety (90) days thereafter; and 4. Within thirty (30) days after the California Supreme Court issues a final order resolving the unexhausted claims, petitioner shall file a motion in this court to lift the stay and an amended habeas petition setting forth all exhausted claims. The assigned magistrate judge shall then screen the petition pursuant to the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **August 21, 2018**