California Rifle & Pistol Association, Incorporated v. Bureau of Alcohol...rms and Explosives, et al

Dod. 36

///

///

///

28 ||

- 1. Claimant has filed a claim in this *in rem* forfeiture action, asserting he has an interest, in whole or in part, and directly, on behalf of, and/or as custodian, for each of the defendant assets in this action. ECF No. 7.
- 2. The stay is requested pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(g)(1) and 981(g)(2). The United States contends that the defendant assets were involved in or used in a violation of federal law, 18 U.S.C. § 922(a)(1)(A) (unlicensed importing, manufacturing, or dealing in firearms, or in the course of such business to ship, transport, or receive any firearm in interstate or foreign commerce). Claimant denies these allegations.
- 3. The United States intends to depose claimant Cook regarding his claim and the allegations as set forth in the complaint. If discovery proceeds at this time, claimant may be placed in the difficult position of either invoking his Fifth Amendment rights against self-incrimination and losing the ability to pursue his claim to the defendant assets, or waiving his Fifth Amendment rights and submitting to a deposition and potentially incriminating himself. If he invokes his Fifth Amendment rights, the United States will be deprived of the ability to explore the factual basis for the claim he filed with this court.
- 4. In addition, claimant intends to depose, among others, law enforcement involved with this investigation, including but not limited to, the agents with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives. Allowing depositions of the law enforcement officers at this time may adversely impact the ability of the federal authorities to investigate the alleged underlying criminal conduct.
- 5. The parties recognize that proceeding with this action at this time has potential adverse effects on the investigation of the underlying criminal conduct and/or upon the claimant's ability to assert any defenses to forfeiture. For these reasons, and because the parties are attempting to settle the civil forfeiture case, the parties jointly request that these matters be stayed until April 1, 2016, in accordance with the terms of this stipulation.

1	6. At that time the parties will advise the court of the status of the criminal investigation and	
2	will advise the court whether a further stay is necessary.	
3 4	Dated: February 2, 2016	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney
5		/s/ Kevin C. Khasigian
6		KEVIN C. KHASIGIAN Assistant United States Attorney
7		
8		
9	Dated: February 2, 2016	/s/ C.D. Michel C. D. MICHEL
10		ANNA M. BARVIR Attorneys for Claimant Chris Cook (Approved by email on 2/2/16)
11		(Approved by email on 2/2/10)
12	ORDER	
13	For the reasons set forth above, this matter is stayed pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(g)(1) and	
14	981(g)(2) until April 1, 2016, in accordance with the terms of this stipulation. On or before that date the	
15	parties will advise the court whether a further stay is necessary.	
16	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
17	Dated: 2/2/2016	/s/ John A. Mendez JOHN A. MENDEZ
18		United States District Court Judge
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>		
28	11	