1	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney	
2	YOSHINORI H. T. HIMEL #66194 Assistant United States Attorney Eastern District of California 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814-2322 Telephone: (916) 554-2760 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900 email: yoshinori.himel@usdoj.gov	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	Attorneys for Petitioner United States of America	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	1:14-cv-01263-LJO-BAM
12	Petitioner,	MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS RE: I.R.S.
13	V.	SUMMONS ENFORCEMENT; ORDER [SECOND PROPOSED]
14	ELDO M. KLINGENBERG, JR.,	
15	Respondent.	Taxpayer: ELDO M. KLINGENBERG, JR.
1		LEDO III IEII (GLI IDEIXO, GIX
16		
16 17		
17	This matter came on before Magistrate	e Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe on October 10, 2014,
17 18		e Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe on October 10, 2014, ber 5, 2014. The order, with the verified petition
17 18 19		ber 5, 2014. The order, with the verified petition
17 18 19 20	under the Order to Show Cause filed Septem	ber 5, 2014. The order, with the verified petition morandum, was personally served upon the
17 18 19 20 21	under the Order to Show Cause filed Septem filed August 11, 2014, and its supporting mer Respondent, Eldo M. Klingenberg, Jr., on Se	ber 5, 2014. The order, with the verified petition morandum, was personally served upon the
17 18 19 20 21 22	under the Order to Show Cause filed Septem filed August 11, 2014, and its supporting mer Respondent, Eldo M. Klingenberg, Jr., on Se	ber 5, 2014. The order, with the verified petition morandum, was personally served upon the ptember 24, 2014. On October 1, 2014, to the verified petition as provided for in the Order
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	under the Order to Show Cause filed Septem filed August 11, 2014, and its supporting mer Respondent, Eldo M. Klingenberg, Jr., on Se Respondent served a Notice of No Objection to Show Cause. At the hearing, Yoshinori H.	ber 5, 2014. The order, with the verified petition morandum, was personally served upon the ptember 24, 2014. On October 1, 2014, to the verified petition as provided for in the Order
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	under the Order to Show Cause filed Septem filed August 11, 2014, and its supporting mer Respondent, Eldo M. Klingenberg, Jr., on Se Respondent served a Notice of No Objection to Show Cause. At the hearing, Yoshinori H. appeared telephonically on behalf of Petition	ber 5, 2014. The order, with the verified petition morandum, was personally served upon the ptember 24, 2014. On October 1, 2014, to the verified petition as provided for in the Order T. Himel, Assistant United States Attorney,
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	under the Order to Show Cause filed Septem filed August 11, 2014, and its supporting mer Respondent, Eldo M. Klingenberg, Jr., on Se Respondent served a Notice of No Objection to Show Cause. At the hearing, Yoshinori H. appeared telephonically on behalf of Petition	ber 5, 2014. The order, with the verified petition morandum, was personally served upon the ptember 24, 2014. On October 1, 2014, to the verified petition as provided for in the Order T. Himel, Assistant United States Attorney, er, and investigating Revenue Officer Brian R. Indent did not appear at the hearing; his attendance

The Verified Petition to Enforce IRS Summons initiating this proceeding seeks to enforce an administrative summons (Exhibit A to the petition) issued August 28, 2012. The summons is part of an investigation of respondent, ELDO M. KLINGENBERG, JR., to secure information needed to collect assessed Form 1040 income taxes for tax years ending December 31, 1998, December 31, 1999, December 31, 2000, December 31, 2001, December 31, 2002, December 31, 2003, December 31, 2007, and December 31, 2008.

Subject matter jurisdiction is invoked under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1340 and 1345, and is found to be proper. I.R.C. §§ 7402(b) and 7604(a) (26 U.S.C.) authorize the government to bring the action. The Order to Show Cause shifted to Respondent the burden of rebutting any of the four requirements of <u>United States v. Powell</u>, 379 U.S. 48, 57-58 (1964).

I have reviewed the petition and documents in support and considered Respondent's "No Objection." Based on the uncontroverted verified petition by Revenue Officer Brian R. Beedie and the entire record, I make the following findings:

- (1) The summons issued by Revenue Officer Brian R. Beedie on August 28, 2012, and served upon Respondent, Eldo M. Klingenberg, Jr., on August 28, 2012, seeking testimony and production of documents and records in respondent's possession, was issued in good faith and for a legitimate purpose under I.R.C. § 7602, that is, to secure information needed to collect Form 1040 assessed income taxes for tax years ending December 31, 1998, December 31, 1999, December 31, 2000, December 31, 2001, December 31, 2002, December 31, 2003, December 31, 2007, and December 31, 2008.
 - (2) The information sought is relevant to that purpose.
- (3) The information sought is not already in the possession of the Internal Revenue Service.
 - (4) The administrative steps required by the Internal Revenue Code have been followed.
- (5) There is no evidence of referral of this case by the Internal Revenue Service to the Department of Justice for criminal prosecution.
- (6) The verified petition and its exhibits made a prima facie showing of satisfaction of the requirements of <u>United States v. Powell</u>, 379 U.S. 48, 57-58 (1964).

- (7) The burden shifted to Respondent, Eldo M. Klingenberg. Jr., to rebut that prima facie showing.
- (8) Respondent presented no argument or evidence to rebut the prima facie showing, and he consented to enforcement by the abovementioned filing.

I therefore recommend that the IRS summons served upon Respondent, Eldo M. Klingenberg, Jr., be enforced; that Respondent be ordered to file with Revenue Officer Beedie in Bakersfield, California, an IRS Form 433-A ("Collection Information Statement for Wage Earners and Self-Employed Individuals"), completed and signed under penalty of perjury, by the twenty-first (21st) day after the filing date of the District Judge's summons enforcement order; and that Respondent be ordered to appear at the United States Attorney's Offices at 123 North San Francisco Street, Suite 410, Flagstaff, Arizona 86001, or at 4825 Coffee Road, Bakersfield, California 93308, before Revenue Officer Beedie or his designated representative, on the thirty-fifth (35th) day after the filing date of the District Judge's summons enforcement order, or on a later date to be set in writing by Revenue Officer Beedie, then and there to be sworn, to give testimony, and to produce for examining and copying the books, checks, records, papers and other data demanded by the summons, the examination to continue from day to day until completed. Additionally, if it enforces the summons, the Court should retain jurisdiction to enforce its order by its contempt power.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and (C) and Rule 72-304 of the Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Within ten (10) days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be titled "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections

shall be served and filed within ten (10) days after service of the objections. The District Judge will then review these findings and recommendations pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). THE CLERK SHALL SERVE this and further orders by mail to Eldo M. Klingenberg, Jr., P.O. Box 50695, Parks, AZ 86018. IT IS SO ORDERED. <u>/s/Barbara A. McAuliffe</u> Dated: **October 16, 2014**