(SS) Knight M. Commissioner of Social Security

Doc. 21

1	attorney had already requested an extension for filing the responsive brief. However, such an
2	extension appears not to have been filed.
3	Defendant respectfully suggests that this constitutes excusable neglect due to the volume of
	cases and administrative tasks handled by defense counsel. For these reasons, Defendant requests a
4	30-day extension of time to respond to Plaintiff's opening brief.
5	There have been two prior extensions of time in this case, each extending the time for Plaintiff
6	to file her opening brief (Doc. Nos. 15, 17). Defendant's responsive brief was previously due on or
7	before May 27, 2015; the new deadline would be June 26, 2015. The parties further stipulate that the
8	Court's Scheduling Order shall be modified accordingly.
9	Dated: June 18, 2015 By: /s/ Jacqueline A. Forslund
10	(as authorized via e-mail)
11	JACQUELINE A. FORSLUND Attorney for Plaintiff
12	· ·
13	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney
14	Dated: June 18, 2015 By: s/Brenda M. Pullin
15	Dated: June 18, 2015 By: <u>s/ Brenda M. Pullin</u> BRENDA M. PULLIN
16	Special Assistant U.S. Attorney
17	Attorneys for Defendant
18	
19	
20	
21	<u>ORDER</u>
22	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.
23	DATED: <u>6/19/2015</u> /s/ SANDRA M. SNYDER
24	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
25	
26	
27	
28	
	1