1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9		
10	PEDRO F. DURAN,	Case No. 1:14-cv-01337-EPG (PC)
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO FILE OPPOSITION OR STATEMENT OF NON-
12	v.	OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS WITHIN THIRTY
13	A. JOHNSON, et al.,	DAYS
14	Defendants.	
15	Dedre Duren ("Disintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding ups as and in forma nameric in	
16	Pedro Duran ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> and <i>in forma pauperis</i> in this sivil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. & 1082. On Fohmary 2, 2017, the defendents in this	
17	this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On February 3, 2017, the defendants in this action filed a motion to dismiss. (ECE No. 16). Plaintiff was required to file an opposition or a	
18	action filed a motion to dismiss. (ECF No. 16). Plaintiff was required to file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to the motion within twenty one days (Local Pule 230(1)), but did not	
19 20	statement of non-opposition to the motion within twenty-one days (Local Rule 230(l)), but did not	
20	do so.	
21	Local Rule 230(1) provides that the failure to oppose a motion "may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion and may result in the imposition of sanctions."	
22	However, the Court will give Plaintiff an additional thirty days to file an opposition or statement	
23 24	of non-opposition to the motion to dismiss. The Court will deem any failure to oppose the motion	
24 25	to dismiss as a waiver of any opposition, and may recommend that the motion be granted on that	
25 26		
26 27	basis. Failure to follow a district court's local rules is a proper ground for dismissal. <u>U.S. v.</u>	
27	Warren, 601 F.2d 471, 474 (9th Cir. 1979). Thus, a Court may dismiss an action for a plaintiff's	
20	<u>1</u>	

1	failure to oppose a motion to dismiss, where the applicable local rule determines that failure to	
2	oppose a motion will be deemed a waiver of opposition. See Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52 (9th	
3	Cir. 1995), cert. denied 516 U.S. 838 (1995) (dismissal upheld even where plaintiff contends he	
4	did not receive motion to dismiss, where plaintiff had adequate notice, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P.	
5	5(b), and time to file opposition); cf. Heinemann v. Satterberg, 731 F.3d 914, 916 (9th Cir. 2013)	
6	(holding that a motion for summary judgment cannot be granted based on a failure to file	
7	opposition, regardless of any local rule to the contrary).	
8	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
9	1. Within thirty days of the date of service of this order, Plaintiff shall file an	
10	opposition or statement of non-opposition to the motion to dismiss; and	
11	2. If Plaintiff fails to comply with this order, the Court will deem the failure to	
12	respond as a waiver of any opposition and may recommend that the motion to	
13	dismiss be granted on that basis.	
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
15		
16	Dated: March 14, 2017 /s/ Enci P. Group	
17	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	