1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DARREN HANDY,	Case No.: 1:14-cv-01355 JLT
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE
13	v.	RE: CONSENT/SCHEDULING CONFERENCE
14	LOGMEIN, INC.,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	On August 28, 2014, Plaintiff filed the instant action. (Doc. 1) On the same day, the Court	
18	issued the summons (Doc. 2) and its order setting the mandatory scheduling conference to occur on	
19	December 17, 2014. (Doc. 4) In its order setting	ng the mandatory scheduling conference, the Court
20	advised counsel:	
21	The Court is unable to conduct a scheduling conference until defendants have been served with the summons and complaint. Accordingly, plaintiff(s) shall diligently	
22	pursue service of summons and complaint and dismiss those defendants against whom plaintiff(s) will not pursue claims. Plaintiff(s) shall promptly file proofs of service of	
23	the summons and complaint so the Cour	t has a record of service. Counsel are referred quirement of timely service of the complaint.
24	Failure to timely serve summons and complaint may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the dismissal of unserved defendants.	
25		<u></u>
26	(Doc. 4 at 1-2, emphasis added) Nevertheless, Plaintiff has not filed a proof of service of the	
27	summons and complaint and no defendant has a	appeared in the action.
28	///	

1	Therefore, the Court ORDERS ,	
2	1. The scheduling conference, currently set on December 17, 2014, is continued to	
3	January 26, 2015 at 9:30 a.m. at the United States Courthouse at 510 19 th Street, Bakersfield, CA.	
4	Plaintiff is reminded of the service obligations under Fed. R. Civ. P. 4. Failure to comply	
5	may result in the imposition of sanctions, including the dismissal of unserved defendants.	
6		
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
8	Dated: November 19, 2014 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston	
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

²⁸ Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) requires the Court sua sponte to dismiss defendants who have not been served within 120 days of the filing of the complaint unless good cause is shown.