1		
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
3	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
4		1
5	ST. PAUL FIRE & MARINE INS. CO., et al.,	1:14-cv-1384-LJO-GSA
6	Plaintiffs,	MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER RE DEFENDANTS' MOTION
7	v.	TO DISMISS (DOC. 11)
8	CENTEX HOMES, et al.,	
9	Defendants.	
10		
11		
12	Currently before the Court is Defendants' motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' complaint. Doc. 11. The	
13	Court did not set a hearing for the motion and the parties did not request one. The Court finds it	
14	appropriate to rule on the motion without oral argument. See Local Rule 230(g).	
15	This case is one of many between the parties currently pending in this Court and elsewhere. The	
16	Court already has ruled on Defendant s' motions to dismiss in other cases between the parties that often	
17	are virtually identical to one another. See, e.g., Travelers Indem. Co. of Conn. v. Centex Homes, No. 14-	
18	cv-217-LJO-GSA, 2014 WL 2002320 (E.D. Cal. May 15, 2014); Fidelity & Guar. Ins. Co. v. Centex	
10	Homes, 1:14-cv-826-LJO-GSA, 2014 WL 4075999 (E.D. Cal. Aug. 15, 2014); Travelers Prop. Cas. Co.	
20	of Am. v. Centex Homes, No. 1:14-cv-1450-LJO-GSA, Doc. 23 (E.D. Cal. Oct. 9, 2014); Fidelity &	
20	Guar. Ins. Co. v. Centex Homes, 14-cv-826-LJO-GSA, 2014 WL 5823048 (E.D. Cal. Nov. 10, 2014);	
	see also St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co. v. Centex Homes, No. ED CV14–01216 AB (JCx), 2014 WL	
22	5013062 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 7, 2014); Doc. 24-1, Travelers Indemn. Co. of Conn. v. Centex Homes, 14-cv-	
23	806 JGB SPx (C.D. Cal. Oct. 2, 2014).	
24	The Court has reviewed the papers and finds that the Court's prior orders resolve the issues	
25	presented in Defendants' pending motion to dismiss. See generally Fidelity & Guar. Ins. Co. v. Centex	
26	1	

1	Homes, 1:14-cv-826-LJO-GSA, 2014 WL 4075999 (E.D. Cal. Aug. 15, 2014); St. Paul Fire & Marine	
2	Ins. Co. v. Centex Homes, No. ED CV14–01216 AB (JCx), 2014 WL 5013062 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 7, 2014).	
3	This is not a news brief to counsel-the counsel of record for Plaintiffs in all these cases is the same law	
4	firm. That said, instead of requesting leave to amend consistent with the other orders and the cited law,	
5	counsel simply encourages (through inaction) to request of this Court that it waste precious judicial	
6	resources. Notice is given that counsel may wish to expend its time either to consider this suggestion or	
7	review Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Accordingly, the Court GRANTS WITH	
8	LEAVE TO AMEND Centex's motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' complaint (Doc. 11). Plaintiffs shall file	
9	any further amended complaint on or before January 2, 2015.	
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11	Dated: December 10, 2014 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26	2	