

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GUILLERMO TRUJILLO,)	Case No.: 1:14-cv-01401-BAM (PC)
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFF’S MOTION
)	FOR SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT (ECF No.
v.)	10)
)	
STU SHERMAN,)	THIRTY-DAY DEADLINE
)	
Defendant.)	
)	
)	
)	

Plaintiff Guillermo Trujillo (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff initiated this action on September 8, 2014. On December 22, 2014, Plaintiff filed a motion for supplemental complaint pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a). (ECF No. 10.) The Court construes Plaintiff’s motion as a request to amend his complaint pursuant to Rule 15(a).

Given that Plaintiff has not previously amended his complaint, he may amend once as a matter of right at this stage in the proceedings. Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1). In other words, Plaintiff may amend his complaint without a court order. Plaintiff’s amended complaint should be complete within itself without reference to a prior complaint. Local Rule 220.

///
///

Accordingly, as Plaintiff does not need leave of court to file an amended complaint, his motion is **HEREBY DENIED** as unnecessary. Any amended complaint should be filed within thirty (30) days following service of this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **January 5, 2015**

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE