

1 Accordingly, the court hereby enters judgment in favor of the plaintiff and against
2 defendants as set forth in the court’s order on plaintiff’s summary judgment motion, (Doc. No. 61
3 at 24, ¶¶ 2–3.). *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 58; *Harmston v. City and County of San Francisco*, 627 F.3d
4 1273 (9th Cir. 2010); *see also Hollywood v. City of Santa Maria*, 886 F.2d 1228, 1231 (9th Cir.
5 1989) (explaining that, in accordance with the language of Rule 58, “we have held with respect to
6 a final judgment, that the separate entry rule requires entry of a document distinct from any
7 opinion or memorandum”).

8 In light of the parties’ stipulation as to plaintiff’s remaining four claims, those claims are
9 hereby DISMISSED with prejudice, *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1); *Wilson v. City of San Jose*, 111
10 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997). The court also VACATES all future dates previously scheduled in
11 this action, including the March 14, 2016 pretrial conference and the April 26, 2016 trial dates.

12 IT IS SO ORDERED.

13 Dated: March 8, 2016

14 
15 _____
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28