```
JOHN L. ROZIER - SBN 103059
   NELSON & ROZIER
   3924 West Caldwell Avenue, Suite A
   Visalia, CA 93277
3
   Telephone: (559) 713-0159
   Facsimile: (559) 713-0166
4
   Attorneys for Plaintiffs, JOEL RAMIREZ,
5
   individually & as Successor-in-interest
   to Joel A. Ramirez, Jr., and GLORIA VIZCARANDO,
6
   individually & as Successor-in-interest
   to Joel A. Ramirez, Jr.
7
8
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9
              EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, FRESNO DIVISION
10
                                    -000-
11
   JOEL RAMIREZ, individually & as ) Case No.: 14-cv-01414-BAM
   successor-in-interest to Joel
                                  ) (Tulare County Superior Court
12
                                    ) Case No. 257349 prior to being
   A. Ramirez, Jr., and GLORIA
   VIZCARANDO, individually & as
                                    ) removed to USDC)
13
   successor-in-interest to Joel
                                    )
   A. Ramirez, Jr.,
                                    ) STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL OF
14
                                    ) ERICA SOTO AND ORDER THEREON
              Plaintiffs,
15
        VS.
16
   COUNTY OF TULARE, MARGARET
17
   PINEDA, ERICA SOTO, ANNA
   ZAVALA, JAIME ZAVALA, FOSTER
18
   FAMILY HOME AND SMALL FAMILY
   HOME INSURANCE FUND OF THE
19
   STATE OF CALIFORNIA, DOES 1-10
20
              Defendant.
21
        Pursuant to the provisions of Fed.R.Civ.P. 41(a)(1), the
22
   parties, by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate
23
   that ERICA SOTO ONLY be DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE from this
24
   action, with the parties bearing their own costs and attorney's
25
   fees.
26
```

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

26

1		
2	Dated: June 23, 2015	NELSON & ROZIER
3		By /S/JOHN L. ROZIER
4		John L. Rozier, Attorneys for Plaintiffs, JOEL RAMIREZ and
5		GLORIA VIZCARANDO
6	Dated: June 23, 2015	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
7		By /S/STEPHANIE J TANADA
8		Mathew L. Walker, Attorneys for Defendants, ANNA ZAVALA and
9		JAIME ZAVALA
10	Dated: June 23, 2015	COUNTY OF TULARE
11		By /S/KATHLEEN TAYLOR
12		Kathleen Taylor, Attorneys for Defendants, COUNTY OF TULARE,
13		Margaret Pineda and Erica Soto
14	OBDED	
14 15	ORDER	OF DISMISSAL
15	Because the parties have :	OF DISMISSAL
15 16	Because the parties have : 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) notice of volume	OF DISMISSAL filed a properly signed Rule
15 16 17	Because the parties have : 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) notice of volume	OF DISMISSAL filed a properly signed Rule ntary dismissal, the above named
15 16 17 18	Because the parties have and the state of th	OF DISMISSAL filed a properly signed Rule ntary dismissal, the above named
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Because the parties have a 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) notice of volume defendant, ERICA SOTO, only, is from this case.	OF DISMISSAL filed a properly signed Rule ntary dismissal, the above named
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Because the parties have and the state of th	OF DISMISSAL filed a properly signed Rule ntary dismissal, the above named
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Because the parties have a 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) notice of volume defendant, ERICA SOTO, only, is from this case.	OF DISMISSAL filed a properly signed Rule ntary dismissal, the above named s hereby DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE /s/Barbara A. McAuliffe
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Because the parties have a 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) notice of volume defendant, ERICA SOTO, only, is from this case. IT IS SO ORDERED.	OF DISMISSAL filed a properly signed Rule ntary dismissal, the above named s hereby DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE