1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	MICHAEL KLEIN,	Case No. 1:14-cv-01431 DLB PC	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR	
13	V.	FAILURE TO FOLLOW COURT ORDER	
14	C. GRAVANCE, et al.,	THIRTY-DAY RESPONSE DEADLINE	
15	Defendants.		
16	Digintiff Michael Klain ("Digintiff") is a f	former prisoner proceeding pro-se and in forms	
17 18	Plaintiff Michael Klein ("Plaintiff") is a former prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. ¹		
19	On September 22, 2015, the Court ordered Plaintiff to return service documents within thirty		
20	(30) days of the date of service of the order. Over thirty (30) days have passed and Plaintiff has		
$\begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \end{bmatrix}$	failed to comply with the order.		
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$	Accordingly, Plaintiff is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE, if any he has, why he failed to		
23	comply with the Court's September 22, 2015, order. Plaintiff must file a response to this order		
23 24	within thirty (30) days of the date of service. Plaintiff may also comply with this order by returning		
25	service documents.		
26			
20 27			
28			
	¹ Plaintiff consented to the jurisdiction of the United States	s Magistrate Judge on September 25, 2014.	

1	Failure to comply with this order will result in dismissal of this action.
2	
3	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	Dated: November 4, 2015 /s/ Dennis L. Beck
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	