1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	VICTOR CHARLES FOURSTAR, JR.,	Case No. 1:14-cv-01456-BAM-HC
11	Petitioner,	ORDER DIRECTING RESPONDENT TO FILE OPPOSITION OR NOTICE OF NON-
12		OPPOSITION TO PETITIONER'S MOTION TO AMEND THE PETITION WITHIN THIRTY
13	V.	(30) DAYS (DOC. 15)
14		ORDER SCHEDULING REPLY
15	PAUL COPENHAVER,	DEADLINE: THIRTY (30) DAYS AFTER SERVICE OF OPPOSITION
16	Respondent.	ORDER SUSPENDING DEADLINE FOR
17		PETITIONER'S FILING OF A TRAVERSE TO THE FIRST AMENDED ANSWER
18		
19	Petitioner is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se with a	
20	petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241.	
21	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 636(c)(1), the parties have consented to the	
22	jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge to conduct all	
23	further proceedings in the case, including the entry of final	
24	judgment, by manifesting their consent in writings signed by the	
25	parties or their representatives and filed by Petitioner on October	
26	1, 2014, and on behalf of Respondent on October 16, 2014. Pending	
27	1	
28		

(HC) Fourstar v. Copenhaver

Doc. 23

before the Court is Petitioner's motion to amend the petition (doc. 15), which was filed on December 1, 2014. Respondent has not filed opposition or notice of non-opposition to the motion.

A court has inherent power to control its docket and the disposition of its cases with economy of time and effort for both the court and the parties. Landis v. North American Co., 299 U.S. 248, 254-255 (1936); Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260 (9th Cir. 1992). The Court has determined that in view of the lengthy history of Petitioner's attempts to add matters to the petition, input from Respondent would be helpful to put Petitioner's latest motion in the context of the entire proceeding and to achieve an informed disposition of the motion.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that:

- 1) Respondent shall FILE either opposition or notice of nonopposition to Petitioner's motion to amend the petition no later than thirty (30) days after the date of service of this order; and
- 2) Petitioner may FILE a reply to any opposition filed by Respondent no later than thirty (30) days after the date of service of any opposition on Petitioner; and
- 3) Pending further order of the Court, the deadline for Petitioner's filing of a traverse to the First Amended Answer filed on December 30, 2014, is SUSPENDED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **January 6, 2015**

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliff UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE