1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9	VICTOR CHARLES FOURSTAR, JR.,	No. 1:14-cv-01456-SKO HC	
10	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT	
11	V.	IO ALTER OR AMEND JUDGMENT	
12	PAUL COPENHAVER, Warden, Respondent.	(Doc. 44)	
13	Kespondent.		
14	Petitioner Victor Charles Fourstar, Jr., is a federal prisoner whose petition for writ of		
15 16	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 was denied on June 30, 2016. ¹ Petitioner moves,		
10	pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 59(e), to alter or amend the judgment denying the petition to a dismissal		
18	without prejudice that will permit him to continue to pursue his claims. Petitioner contends the		
19	Supreme Court's recent decision in Williams v. Pennsylvania, 136 S.Ct. 1899 (2016), establishes		
20	a new basis for habeas relief.		
21	The Williams holding has no application to the petition in the above-captioned matter,		
22	which addressed various disciplinary actions against Petitioner during his confinement. The issue		
23 24	addressed in Williams was whether recusal was required of a State Supreme Court judge who,		
2 4 25	while he was a District Attorney, had authorized bringing capital charges against Williams.		
26	Petitioner's argument is based on the Montana District Court proceedings that led to his		
27 28	¹ Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § $636(c)(1)$, both parties consented, in writing, to the jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge to conduct all further proceedings in this case, including the entry of final judgment. 1		

1	conviction and sentencing to federal prison, not to any of the disciplinary proceedings addressed
2	in this action.
3	Based on the foregoing, Petitioner's motion to alter or amend the judgment pursuant to
4	F.R.Civ.P. 59(e) is hereby DENIED.
5	
6	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.
8	Dated: July 26, 2016 [s] Sheila K. Oberto
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
24 25	
25 26	
20 27	
27	
20	2