

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6
7
8
9

10 TROY LEON CLOWERS,

11 Plaintiff,

12 vs.

13 SHERIFF MIMS, ET AL.,

14 Defendant
15

Case No.1:14 cv 01488 AWI GSA PC

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION
THAT THIS ACTION BE DISMISSED FOR
FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM UPON
WHICH RELIEF COULD BE GRANTED

OBJECTIONS DUE IN TWENTY DAYS
16

17
18 Plaintiff is a Fresno County Jail inmate proceeding pro se in this civil rights action . The
19 matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and
20 Local Rule 302.

21 By order filed February 5, 2015, the Court issued an order dismissing the operative
22 complaint for failure to state a claim and directing Plaintiff to file an amended complaint within
23 thirty days. Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.

24 In the February 5, 2015, order, the Court informed Plaintiff of the deficiencies in his
25 complaint, and dismissed the complaint on the ground that Plaintiff had failed to state a claim
26 upon which relief could be granted. Because Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, the
27 Court dismisses the claims made in the original complaint with prejudice for failure to state a
28 claim upon which the Court could grant relief. See Lopez v. Smith, 203 F.3d 1122, 1127 (9th Cir.

1 2007)(recognizing longstanding rule that leave to amend should be granted even if no request to
2 amend was made unless the court determines that the pleading could not possibly be cured by the
3 allegation of other facts); Noll v. Carlson, 809 F.2d 1446, 1448 (9th Cir. 1987)(pro se litigant
4 must be given leave to amend his or her complaint unless it is absolutely clear that the
5 deficiencies of the complaint could not be cured by amendment). See Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963
6 F.2d 1258, 1261 (9th Cir. 1992)(dismissal with prejudice upheld where court had instructed
7 plaintiff regarding deficiencies in prior order dismissing claim with leave to amend).

8 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed for failure
9 to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, and that this action count as a strike under 28
10 U.S.C. §1915(g).

11 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
12 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S. C. § 636(b)(1)(B). Within twenty
13 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
14 objections with the Court. Such a document should be captioned “Objections to Magistrate
15 Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections
16 within the specified time waives all objections to the judge’s findings of fact. See Turner v.
17 Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1988). Failure to file objections within the specified time
18 may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir.
19 1991).

20
21
22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: March 9, 2015

24 /s/ Gary S. Austin

25 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26
27