1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 TRAVELERS CASUALTY INS. CO. OF 1:14-cv-1495-LJO-GSA 5 AMERICA, et al., MEMORANDUM DECISION AND 6 Plaintiffs, ORDER RE DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS (DOC. 7) 7 v. 8 **CENTEX HOMES, et al.,** 9 Defendants. 10 11 Currently before the Court is Defendants' motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' complaint. Doc. 7. The 12 Court did not set a hearing for the motion and the parties did not request one. The Court finds it 13 appropriate to rule on the motion without oral argument. See Local Rule 230(g). 14 This case is one of many between the parties currently pending in this Court and elsewhere. The 15 Court already has ruled on Defendant s' motions to dismiss in other cases between the parties that often 16 are virtually identical to one another. See, e.g., Travelers Indem. Co. of Conn. v. Centex Homes, No. 14-17 cv-217-LJO-GSA, 2014 WL 2002320 (E.D. Cal. May 15, 2014); Fidelity & Guar. Ins. Co. v. Centex 18 Homes, 1:14-cv-826-LJO-GSA, 2014 WL 4075999 (E.D. Cal. Aug. 15, 2014); Travelers Prop. Cas. Co. 19 of Am. v. Centex Homes, No. 1:14-cv-1450-LJO-GSA, Doc. 23 (E.D. Cal. Oct. 9, 2014); Fidelity & 20 Guar. Ins. Co. v. Centex Homes, 14-cv-826-LJO-GSA, 2014 WL 5823048 (E.D. Cal. Nov. 10, 2014); 21 see also St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co. v. Centex Homes, No. ED CV14-01216 AB (JCx), 2014 WL 22 5013062 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 7, 2014); Doc. 24-1, Travelers Indemn. Co. of Conn. v. Centex Homes, 14-cv-23 806 JGB SPx (C.D. Cal. Oct. 2, 2014). 24 The Court has reviewed the papers and finds that the Court's prior orders resolve the issues 25 presented in Defendants' pending motion to dismiss. See generally Fidelity & Guar. Ins. Co. v. Centex 26

1	Homes, 1:14-cv-826-LJO-GSA, 2014 WL 4075999 (E.D. Cal. Aug. 15, 2014); St. Paul Fire & Marine
2	Ins. Co. v. Centex Homes, No. ED CV14–01216 AB (JCx), 2014 WL 5013062 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 7, 2014).
3	As the Court explained approximately seven weeks ago, "[t]his is not a news brief to counsel—the
4	counsel of record for Plaintiffs in all these cases is the same law firm." St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co.,
5	1:14-cv-1384-LJO-GSA, Doc. 28 at 2. The Court noted that "instead of requesting leave to amend
6	consistent with the other orders and the cited law," counsel for Plaintiffs "simply encourages (through
7	inaction) to request of this Court that it waste precious judicial resources." Counsel for Plaintiffs
8	continues to do so in this case. Again, "[n]otice is given that counsel may wish to expend its time either
9	to consider this suggestion or review Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure." <i>Id</i> .
10	Accordingly, the Court GRANTS WITH LEAVE TO AMEND Centex's motion to dismiss
11	Plaintiffs' complaint (Doc. 7). Plaintiffs shall file any further amended complaint on or before February
12	20, 2015.
13	IT IS SO ORDERED.
14	Dated: January 29, 2015 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	