1			
2			
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
4	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
5			
6	DENNIS SHARKEY,	1:14-cv-01516-AWI-GSA-PC	
7	Plaintiff,	ORDER DISMISSING ACTION IN ITS	
8	vs.	ENTIRETY WITHOUT PREJUDICE PURSUANT TO RULE 41(a)(1) NOTICE OF	
9	NORM KRAMER, et al.,	DISMISSAL	
10	Defendants.	(Doc. No. 6)	
11			
12	Dennis Sharkey ("Plaintiff") is a civil detainee proceeding pro se in this civil rights		
13	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed the Complaint commencing this action on		
14	June 18, 2014. (Doc. 2.)		
15	On April 22, 2015, Plaintiff filed a notice of dismissal of this action without prejudice.		
16	(Doc. 6.) The court construes Plaintiff's notice as being brought pursuant to Rule $41(a)(1)$ . In		
17	Wilson v. City of San Jose, the Ninth Circuit explained:		
18			
19	Under Rule 41(a)(1), a plaintiff has an absolute right to voluntarily dismiss his action prior to service by the defendant of an answer or a motion for summary judgment. <u>Concha v. London</u> , 62 F.3d 1493, 1506 (9th Cir. 1995)		
20	<ul> <li>(citing <u>Hamilton v. Shearson-Lehman American Express</u>, 813 F.2d 1532, 1534</li> <li>(9th Cir. 1987)). A plaintiff may dismiss his action so long as the plaintiff files a notice of dismissal prior to the defendant's service of an answer or motion for summary judgment. The dismissal is effective on filing and no court order is required. <u>Id.</u> The plaintiff may dismiss some or all of the defendants, or some or all of his claims, through a Rule 41(a)(1) notice. <u>Id.</u>; <u>Pedrina v. Chun</u>, 987</li> <li>F.2d 608, 609-10 (9th Cir. 1993). The filing of a notice of voluntary dismissal with the court automatically terminates the action as to the defendants who are</li> </ul>		
21			
22			
23			
24	the subjects of the notice. <u>Concha</u> , 62 F.2d at 1506. Unless otherwise stated, the dismissal is ordinarily without prejudice to the plaintiff's right to commence		
25	another action for the same cause against the same defendants. <u>Id.</u> (citing <u>McKenzie v. Davenport-Harris Funeral Home</u> , 834 F.2d 930, 934-35 (9th Cir.		
26	1987)). Such a dismissal leaves the parties as though no action had been brought. Id.		
27			
28			
		1	

1	Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997). No defendant has filed an	
2	answer or motion for summary judgment in this action. Therefore, pursuant to Rule	
3	41(a)(1)(A)(i), this case has terminated.	
4	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that his action is DISMISSED in its entirety	
5	without prejudice pursuant to Rule $41(a)(1)$ and the Clerk shall CLOSE this case.	
6		
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
8	Dated: <u>April 24, 2015</u> SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE	
9	JENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	