

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EARL SIMPSON,

 Plaintiff,

 v.

YVONE BREWSTER,

 Defendant.

CASE NO. 1:14-cv-1536-MJS (PC)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION
SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED WITH
PREJUDICE FOR FAILURE TO OBEY A
COURT ORDER AND FAILURE TO
PROSECUTE**

(ECF No. 10)

FOURTEEN (14) DAY DEADLINE

Plaintiff is a civil detainee proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On March 6, 2015, all of Plaintiff's claims were dismissed without prejudice. (ECF No. 10.) Plaintiff was given 30 days to file an amended complaint. The thirty-day deadline passed without Plaintiff having filed an amended pleading or seeking an extension of time to do so.

Local Rule 110 provides that "failure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court." District courts have the inherent power to control their dockets and "in the exercise of that power, they may impose sanctions including, where appropriate . . . dismissal of a case." Thompson v.

1 Housing Auth., 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action, with
2 prejudice, based on a party's failure to prosecute, failure to obey a court order, or failure
3 to comply with local rules. See, e.g., Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53-54 (9th Cir. 1995)
4 (dismissal for noncompliance with local rule); Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-
5 61 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for failure to comply with an order requiring amendment of a
6 complaint); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for failure
7 to comply with local rule requiring pro se plaintiffs to keep court apprised of address);
8 Malone v. U.S. Postal Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987) (dismissal for failure to
9 comply with a court order); Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986)
10 (dismissal for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with local rules).

11 In determining whether to dismiss an action for lack of prosecution, failure to obey
12 a court order, or failure to comply with local rules, the Court must consider several
13 factors: (1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation, (2) the Court's need
14 to manage its docket, (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants, (4) the public policy
15 favoring disposition of cases on their merits, and (5) the availability of less drastic
16 alternatives. Thompson, 782 F.2d at 831; Henderson, 779 F.2d at 1423-24; Malone, 833
17 F.2d at 130; Ferdik, 963 F.2d at 1260-61; Ghazali, 46 F.3d at 53.

18 In the instant case, the public's interest in expeditiously resolving this litigation
19 and the Court's interest in managing its docket weigh in favor of dismissal. The third
20 factor, risk of prejudice to Defendants, also weighs in favor of dismissal, since a
21 presumption of injury arises from the occurrence of unreasonable delay in prosecuting
22 this action. Anderson v. Air West, 542 F.2d 522, 524 (9th Cir. 1976). The fourth factor --
23 public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits -- is greatly outweighed by the
24 factors in favor of dismissal discussed herein. Finally, as for the availability of lesser
25 sanctions, at this stage in the proceedings there is little available which would constitute
26 a satisfactory lesser sanction while preserving scarce Court resources. Plaintiff has not
27 paid the filing fee for this action and is likely unable to pay, making monetary sanctions
28 of little use.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. Within fourteen (14) days of service of this Order, Plaintiff shall either show cause as to why this action should not be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with the Court's orders (ECF No. 10), or file an amended complaint; and
2. If Plaintiff fails to show cause or file an amended complaint, the undersigned will recommend that this action be dismissed, with prejudice, for failure to prosecute and failure to obey a court order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 20, 2015

/s/ Michael J. Seng
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE