1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JESSE ADAME,	) Case No.: 1:14-cv-01596-SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	) ) ) ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
13	v.	) FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL, WITHOUT ) PREJUDICE
14	F. MARISCAL, et al.,	) ) [ECF No. 13]
15	Defendants.	) )
16		, _)
17	Plaintiff Jesse Adame is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action	
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	On February 2, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for the appointment of counsel. Plaintiff does not	
20	have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, <u>Rand v. Rowland</u> , 113 F.3d 1520, 1525	
21	(9th Cir. 1997), and the court cannot require any attorney to represent plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
22	1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296,	
23	298 (1989). However, in certain exceptional circumstances the court may request the voluntary	
24	assistance of counsel pursuant to section 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525.	
25	Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the court will seek	
26	volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether	
27	"exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate both the likelihood of success on the	
28		
		1

merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." <u>Id.</u> (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).

In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Even if it assumed that plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious allegations which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. Plaintiff alleges an Eighth Amendment claim for excessive force against Defendants Mariscal and Vallejo. The legal issues present in this action are not complex, and Plaintiff has thoroughly set forth his allegations in the complaint. However, at this early stage in the proceedings, the court cannot make a determination that Plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits, and based on a review of the record in this case, the court does not find that plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims. <u>Id.</u>

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY DENIED, without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 3, 2015

A. Be

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE