

1 To date, Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint in compliance with the Court’s prior
2 order. The Local Rules, corresponding with Fed. R. Civ. P. 11, provide: “Failure of counsel or of a
3 party to comply with . . . any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any
4 and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court.” Local Rule 110. “District courts have
5 inherent power to control their dockets,” and in exercising that power, a court may impose sanctions
6 including dismissal of an action. *Thompson v. Housing Authority of Los Angeles*, 782 F.2d 829, 831
7 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action with prejudice, based on a party’s failure to prosecute
8 an action or failure to obey a court order, or failure to comply with local rules. *See, e.g. Ferdik v.*
9 *Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for failure to comply with an order
10 requiring amendment of complaint); *Malone v. U.S. Postal Service*, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987)
11 (dismissal for failure to comply with a court order); *Henderson v. Duncan*, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th
12 Cir. 1986) (dismissal for failure to prosecute and to comply with local rules).

13 Accordingly, Plaintiff is **ORDERED** to show cause in writing within 21 days why the action
14 should not be dismissed for his failure comply with the Court’s order or to file his first amended
15 complaint.

16
17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 Dated: January 21, 2015

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE