1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CHARLES W. WINDHAM, Case No. 1:14-cv-01636-DAD-BAM (PC) 12 ORDER SETTING EVIDENTIARY Plaintiff. HEARING ON THE ISSUE OF 13 **EXHAUSTION** v. 14 Date: Thursday, May 10, 2018 MARIN, et al., Time: 10:00 a.m. 15 Defendants. **Location: Courtroom 8 (BAM)** 16 17 Plaintiff Charles W. Windham ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds on 18 Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claims of excessive use of force against Defendants Marin, Uribe, 19 20 Rasley, Contreras, Capano, and Rubio, and for deliberate indifference to serious medical needs 21 against Defendants Navarro, Morales, Marin, and Shiver. 22 On September 2, 2015, Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment based on Plaintiff's failure to exhaust administrative remedies. (ECF No. 49.) On March 7, 2018, 23 24 following a protracted discovery dispute, the assigned District Judge adopted the findings and recommendations to deny the motion, subject to an evidentiary hearing being held in order to 25 26 resolve factual disputes concerning exhaustion. (ECF No. 138.) 27 On March 21, 2018, the Court held a telephonic conference regarding the setting of an 28 evidentiary hearing on the issue of exhaustion of administrative remedies, pursuant to Albino v. 1

28