1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 RICHARD SCOTT KINDRED, CASE No. 1:14-cv-01652-AWI-MJS (PC) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND **RECOMMENDATIONS TO (1) DENY** 13 ٧. **DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS TO STRIKE** 14 AND (2) DENY PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS KENNETH BELL, et al., FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION 15 Defendants. (ECF Nos. 18, 31, 34, 40) 16 17 18 Plaintiff is a civil detainee proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil 19 rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The action proceeds on Plaintiff's 20 First Amendment claims against Defendants Bigot and Bell. (ECF Nos. 16, 19.) The 21 matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 22 § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302 of the United States District Court for the Eastern 23 District of California. 24 On July 21, 2017, the Magistrate Judge issued findings and recommendations to 25 deny Defendants' motions to strike (ECF Nos. 31, 40) and deny Plaintiff's motions for 26 preliminary injunction (ECF Nos. 18, 34). Plaintiff filed objections (ECF No. 43), to which 27 Defendants filed no response. 28

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

- The findings and recommendations issued July 21, 2017 (ECF No. 41) are adopted in full;
- Defendants' motions to strike Plaintiff's motions for preliminary injunction (ECF Nos. 31, 40) are DENIED; and
- 3. Plaintiff's motions for preliminary injunction (ECF Nos. 18, 34) are DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 18, 2017

SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE