1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 8 MARCUS LAMAR JOHNSON, 1:14 -cv-01672-LJO-BAM (HC) 9 Petitioner, ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL 10 v. PAUL COPENHAVER, (Document#21) 11 Respondent. 12 13 14 Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no 15 absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g., Anderson v. 16 Heinze, 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 17 1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel 18 at any stage of the case if "the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules 19 Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present case, the Court does not find that the interests 20 of justice require the appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS 21 HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is DENIED. 22 IT IS SO ORDERED. 23 24 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: **August 25, 2015** 25 26 27 28