1	Robert R. Pohls (California Bar #131021)		
2	POHLS & ASSOCIATES 1550 Parkside Drive, Suite 260		
3	Walnut Creek, California 94596		
4	Telephone: (925) 973-0300 Facsimile: (925) 973-0330		
5	Attorney for Plaintiff State Farm Life Insurance Company		
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10			
11	STATE FARM LIFE INSURANCE	Case No. 14-CV-01688-GEB-SAB	
12	COMPANY, Plaintiff,	STIPULATED JUDGMENT IN INTERPLEADER	
13	VS.		
14	vo.		
15	LUZ PATRICIA BARNETT, JENNA McCAIN and KYLE BARNETT,		
16			
17	Defendants.		
18			
19	Having reviewed the parties' Stipulation and Order for Entry of Judgment in		
20	Interpleader, (ECF No. 18), and it appearir	ng that plaintiff State Farm Life Insurance	
21	Company ("State Farm") has brought this action in interpleader, that this Court has		
22	jurisdiction of the parties and of the subject herein, and that good cause appears		
23	therefor,		
24	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:		
25	1. State Farm properly filed its Complaint in Interpleader herein, and that this is		
26	a proper cause for interpleader.		
27			
28			
	STIPULATED JUDGMENT IN INTERPLEADER Case No. 14-CV-01688-GEB-SAB Page 1		

1	2.	By reason of the death of Justin Barnett (the "Decedent") on or about July 27,
2		2014, the total sum of \$250,024.95 (the "Proceeds") became due and payable
3		under State Farm's life insurance policy number LF-2251-5400 (the "Policy").
4	3.	Defendant Luz Patricia Barnett, defendant Jenna McCain, and defendant Kyle
5		Barnett each claim all or some portion of Proceeds. No other person or entity
6		has made a claim to the Proceeds.
7	4.	Having deposited the sum of \$252,273.67 (representing the Proceeds plus
8		interest) with the Clerk of this Court on or about October 29, 2014, State Farm
9		and its heirs, assigns, agents, employees, agent-employees, servants,
10		directors, officers, attorneys, predecessors, successors, parent companies,
11		subsidiaries, and affiliates, and each of them be and hereby are released,
12		discharged and acquitted of and from any liability of any kind or nature
13		whatsoever under the Policy;
14	5.	State Farm be and hereby is awarded the sum of \$1,000.00 for the attorneys'
15		fees and costs it incurred in connection with this action, and the Clerk of the
16		Court be and hereby is directed to pay that sum to State Farm, from and out
17		of the funds deposited herein, by check made payable to "State Farm Life
18		Insurance Company" and delivered via first class mail to its attorneys of
19		record at the following address: Pohls & Associates, Att'n: Robert R. Pohls,
20		1550 Parkside Drive, Suite 260, Walnut Creek, California 94596;
21	6.	Defendant Jenna McCain and defendant Kyle Barnett be and hereby are
22		jointly the sum of \$125,636.84 as that portion of the funds deposited herein
23		as to which defendant Luz Patricia Barnett makes no claim, and the Clerk of
24		the Court be and hereby is directed to pay that sum jointly to defendant
25		Jenna McCain and defendant Kyle Barnett, from and out of the funds
26		deposited herein, by check made payable to "Len Tillem & Associates in
27		trust for Kyle Barnett and Jenna McCain" and delivered via first class mail to
28		

STIPULATED JUDGMENT IN INTERPLEADER Case No. 14-CV-01688-GEB-SAB

1		their attorneys of record at the following address: Len Tillem & Associates,
2		Att'n: Audrey J. Gerard, 846 Broadway, Sonoma, California 95476;
3	7.	Upon the entry of this Judgment in Interpleader, State Farm be and hereby is
4		dismissed with prejudice from this action;
5	8.	Although "the first stage of the two[-]part interpleader process [is] now
6		complete[], [Defendants Luz Patricia Barnett, Jenna McCain, and Kyle
7		Barnett] must litigate their entitlement to the [remaining] interpled funds in
8		the second phase of these proceedings." Prudential Ins. Co. of Amer. v. A.M.,
9		No. 2:13-cv-00820-MCE-AC, 2014 WL 3689367, at *2 (E.D. Cal. July 24,
10		2014); see Mack v. Kuckenmeister, 619 F.3d 1010, 1023-24 (9th Cir. 2010)
11		("An interpleader action typically involves two stages. In the first stage, the
12		district court decides whether the requirements for rule or statutory
13		interpleader action have been met by determining if there is a single fund at
14		issue and whether there are adverse claimants to that fund. If the district court
15		finds that the interpleader action has been properly brought the district court
16		will then make a determination of the respective rights of the claimants."
17		(internal quotation marks and citations omitted)); and
18	9.	Defendants shall file a joint status report no later than fourteen (14) days prior
19		to the January 26, 2015 Status Conference, in which they shall address how
20		each claimant intends to litigate entitlement to the remaining interpled funds
21		and all pertinent subjects set forth in Local Rule 240.
22	Dated: December 23, 2014	
23		ecember 23, 2014
24		dente Dent
25		GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
26		Senior United States District Judge
27		
28		
		ED JUDGMENT IN INTERPLEADER 4-CV-01688-GEB-SAB Page 3