1	David C. Rancaño, SBN 121000 Tejinderpal S. Sanghera, SBN 275058 Violeta Diaz, SBN 296505			
2				
3	RANCAÑO & RANCAÑO 1300 10th Street, Suite C			
4	Modesto, CA 95354 Telephone: 209.549.2000			
5	Facsimile: 209.526.1101			
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff RODOLFO BARRETO RAMIREZ			
7				
8	Stuart D. Tochner, CA Bar No. 123758 stuart.tochner@ogletreedeakins.com			
9	OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C. 400 South Hope Street, Suite 1200			
10	Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213.239.9800 Facsimile: 213.239.9045			
11				
12	Jill V. Cartwright, CA Bar No. 260519 jill.cartwright@ogletreedeakins.com OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.			
13	Steuart Tower, Suite 1300			
14	One Market Plaza San Francisco, CA 94105			
15	Telephone: 415.442.4810 Facsimile: 415.442.4870			
16	Tittorne ja Tor Berendant			
17	AMERICOLD LOGISTICS, LLC			
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
19	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
20				
21	RODOLFO BARRETO RAMIREZ	Case No. 1:14-cv-01695-SKO		
22	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION TO MODIFY THE SCHEDULING ORDER TO EXTEND THE		
23	v.	NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY DEADLINE; DECLARATION OF JILL V.		
24	AMERICOLD LOGISTICS; AND DOES 1 THROUGH 100, INCLUSIVE	CARTWRIGHT IN SUPPORT THEREOF; [PROPOSED] ORDER		
25	Defendant.			
26		Complaint Filed: August 11, 2014 Trial Date: June 28, 2016		
27				
28	//			
ŀ	STIDLII ATION TO MODIEV THE S	Case No. 1:14-cv-01695-SKO		

STIPULATION TO MODIFY THE SCHEDULING ORDER TO EXTEND THE NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY DEADLINE; CARTWRIGHT DECLARATION; ORDER

Plaintiff Rodolfo Barreto Ramirez and Defendant AmeriCold Logistics LLC, though their respective counsel, respectfully request the Court modify its pretrial scheduling order (ECF No. 14) to extend the deadline on non-expert discovery. Good cause exists to extend the non-expert discovery deadline.

- 1. The Parties request the Court extend the deadline for non-expert discovery to January 1, 2016. The current deadline is October 16, 2015.
- 2. The Parties are currently attempting to schedule mediation. Based on the potential mediators' schedule and the parties' schedules, mediation will likely take place in late November 2015. To avoid the cost of discovery while the Parties plan for and attend mediation, the Parties would like to stay non-expert discovery pending completion of the mediation. The Parties believe that staying mediation will help facilitate settlement. In order to stay discovery, the Parties need to continue the non-expert discovery until after mediation.
- 3. Extending the non-expert discovery cut-off date will give the Parties time to complete non-expert discovery if the case does not settle at mediation.
- 4. The Parties have only just been able to complete the depositions of the main witnesses in the case because of the difficulty in scheduling the depositions at a convenient time and date for the witnesses and counsel. The Parties delayed scheduling mediation until each Party was able to complete the depositions needed to participate meaningfully in mediation.
- 5. Because of the difficulty in scheduling the depositions of the main witnesses in the case, discovery was delayed and the Parties need additional time to complete all the depositions necessary for trial.
- 5. Continuing the non-expert discovery date will not affect any of the other dates in the Court's pretrial Scheduling Order and will not affect the trial date.
 - 6. This is the Parties' first request to modify the Scheduling Order.

25 | / / /

26 | / / /

27 | / / /

28 | / / /

1	7. Therefore, pursuant to the Cour	t's scheduling order (ECF No. 14) and Local Rule
2	2 143, the Parties herby submit this stipulation for	or modification of the pretrial scheduling order
3	B (ECF No. 14).	
4	1	
5	DATED: October 6, 2015	RANCAÑO & RANCAÑO
6	5	
7	7	By: /s/ Violeta Diaz (as authorized on 10/6/15) David C. Rancano
8	3	Tejinderpal S. Sanghera Violeta Diaz
9		Attorneys for Plaintiff
10		RODOLFO BARRETO RAMIREZ
11		
12	DATED: October 6, 2015	OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.
13	3	
14	1	By: /s/ Jill V. Cartwright Stuart D. Tochner
15	5	Jill V. Cartwright
16	5	Attorneys for Defendant AMERICOLD LOGISTICS, LLC
17	7	TRADITION DE CASTICA, DEC
18	3	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	3	
24	 	
25	5	
26	5	
27		
28	3	
	CTIDLIL ATION TO MODIEV THE	Case No. 1:14-cv-01695-SKO

28 |

///

DECLARATION OF JILL V. CARTWRIGHT

I, Jill V. Cartwright, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an attorney at law, duly licensed to practice in the State of California, and I am an associate with the law firm of Ogletree Deakins Nash Smoak & Stewart, P.C. I am counsel of record for Defendant AmeriCold Logistics LLC in the above-captioned matter. The following is based on my personal knowledge, and if called upon to do so, I could and would competently testify thereto.
- 2. I submit this declaration in accordance with the Court's Scheduling Order (ECF No. 14), which states that stipulations to continue the dates in the Scheduling Order should be accompanied by affidavits or declarations.
- 3. The Parties are currently attempting to schedule mediation. Based on the potential mediators' schedule and the parties' schedules, mediation will likely take place in late November 2015. To avoid the cost of discovery while the Parties plan for and attend mediation, the Parties would like to stay non-expert discovery pending completion of the mediation. I believe that staying mediation will help facilitate settlement because it will save on discovery costs. In order to stay discovery, the Parties need to continue the non-expert discovery until after mediation.
- 4. Extending the non-expert discovery cut-off date will give the Parties time to complete non-expert discovery if the case does not settle at mediation.
- 5. The Parties have only just been able to complete the depositions of the main witnesses in the case because of the difficulty in scheduling the depositions at a convenient time and date for the witnesses and counsel. The Parties delayed scheduling mediation until each Party was able to complete the depositions needed to participate meaningfully in mediation.
- 6. Because of the difficulty in scheduling the depositions of the main witnesses in the case, discovery was delayed and the Parties need additional time to complete all the depositions necessary for trial.
- 7. Continuing the non-expert discovery date to January 1, 2016 will not affect any of the other dates in the Court's pretrial Scheduling Order and will not affect the trial date.

1	8. This is the Parties' first request to modify the Scheduling Order.
2	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and the
3	State of California and the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct, and
4	this declaration was executed on October 6, 2015 in San Francisco, California.
5	
6	/s/ Jill V. Cartwright
7	JILL V. CARTWRIGHT
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	4 Case No. 1:14-cv-01695-SKO

1	ORDER		
2	Based on the parties' stipulation (Doc. 15), the Court modifies the February 12, 2015,		
3	scheduling order to grant the parties an extension of time to designate experts and supplemental		
4	experts. All other dates remain as set in the Court's February 12, 2015, scheduling order.		
5	(Doc. 14.)		
6	Accordingly, discovery deadlines and motion filing deadlines are continued as follows:		
7	Settlement Conference: November 23, 2015, at 10:00 a.m., Ctrm 9 (SAB)		
8	before Magistrate Judge Stanley A. Boone		
9	Non-Expert Discovery cutoff: January 1, 2016		
10	Expert Disclosure: January 23, 2016		
11	Supplemental Expert Disclosure: February 6, 2016		
12	Expert Discovery cutoff: February 20, 2016		
13	Non Dispositive Motions:		
14	Filing: March 1, 2016		
15	Hearing: March 30, 2016		
16	Dispositive Motions:		
17	Filing: March 1, 2016		
18	Hearing: April 6, 2016		
19	Pre-Trial Conference: May 18, 2016, at 2:00 p.m., Ctrm 7		
20	Trial: June 28, 2016, at 8:30 a.m., in Ctrm 7		
21			
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
23	Dated: October 9, 2015 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			