

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10

11 LESLIE LARAY CRAWFORD,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 CITY OF BAKERSFIELD, et al.,

15 Defendants.  
16

Case No. 1:14-cv-01735-SAB

ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO  
SHOW CAUSE WHY MICHAEL DOZER  
SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FROM  
THIS ACTION FOR FAILURE TO  
COMPLY WITH RULE 4(m) OF THE  
FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

TEN DAY DEADLINE

17 Plaintiff filed this civil rights action on November 6, 2014, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.  
18 On May 27, 2015 a first amended complaint was filed and a summons was issued for Michael  
19 Dozer. As of this date, Plaintiff has not returned an executed service of summons for Michael  
20 Dozer.

21 Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil procedure addresses the time requirements for  
22 service of the complaint in civil cases. At the time the summons in this action was issued Rule  
23 4(m) provided:

24 If a defendant is not served within 120 days after the complaint is filed, the court -  
25 - on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff -- must dismiss the action  
26 without prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a  
27 specified time. But if the plaintiff shows good cause for the failure, the court  
must extend the time for service for an appropriate period.

28 Accordingly, Plaintiff is **HEREBY ORDERED** to show cause in writing within ten (10)

