

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WILLIAM BIRDSALL,  
  
  Plaintiff,  
  
  v.  
  
D. JAMES,  
  
  Defendant.

Case No. 1:14-cv-01738-DAD-BAM (PC)  
  
ORDER DIRECTING DEFENDANT JAMES  
TO FILE RESPONSIVE PLEADING  
  
**TWENTY-ONE (21) DAY DEADLINE**

Plaintiff William Birdsall (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds on Plaintiff’s second amended complaint asserting a due process violation claim against Defendant James.

On September 5, 2018, the Court denied Defendant’s motion to dismiss. (ECF No. 45.) Accordingly, Defendant shall file an answer or other responsive pleading to Plaintiff’s second amended complaint within twenty-one (21) days from the date of service of this order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 6, 2018

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE