(PC)Carr v. A	licala et al	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6   7		
	LIMITED C	
8		TATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	GLAVIDE GADD	
11	CLAUDE CARR,	1:14-cv-01823-GSA-PC
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER INFORMING PLAINTIFF HE HAS LEAVE TO AMEND THE
13	VS.	COMPLAINT ONCE AS A MATTER OF COURSE
14	M. ALCALA, et al.,	(Doc. 5 resolved)
15	Defendants.	THIRTY DAY DEADLINE TO FILE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
16		ORDER FOR CLERK TO SEND
17		COMPLAINT FORM TO PLAINTIFF
18		
19	T. D. CIZODOUND	
20	I. BACKGROUND	
21	Claude Carr ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action	
22		aintiff filed the Complaint commencing this action on
23	November 20, 2014. (Doc. 1.)	
24		ff filed motion to amend the Complaint. (Doc. 5.)
25	II. LEAVE TO AMEND – RUL	
26		leral Rules of Civil Procedure, a party may amend the
party's pleading once as a matter of course at any time before a responsive plead		
28	Otherwise, a party may amend only b	by leave of the court or by written consent of the adverse
		1

Doc. 8

party, and leave shall be freely given when justice so requires. Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a). Because Plaintiff has not amended the complaint, and no responsive pleading has been served in this action, Plaintiff has leave to file an amended complaint as a matter of course.

Plaintiff is informed he must demonstrate in his amended complaint how the conditions complained of have resulted in a deprivation of Plaintiff's constitutional rights. See Ellis v. Cassidy, 625 F.2d 227 (9th Cir. 1980). The amended complaint must allege in specific terms how each named defendant is involved. There can be no liability under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 unless there is some affirmative link or connection between a defendant's actions and the claimed deprivation. Rizzo v. Goode, 423 U.S. 362 (1976); May v. Enomoto, 633 F.2d 164, 167 (9th Cir. 1980); Johnson v. Duffy, 588 F.2d 740, 743 (9th Cir. 1978).

Further, Plaintiff may not change the nature of this suit by adding new, unrelated claims in his amended complaint. <u>George v. Smith</u>, 507 F.3d 605, 607 (7th Cir. 2007) (no "buckshot" complaints).

Finally, Plaintiff is advised that an amended complaint supercedes the original complaint, <u>Lacey v. Maricopa County</u>, 693 F 3d. 896, 907 n.1 (9th Cir. 2012) (en banc), and it must be complete in itself without reference to the prior or superceded pleading. Local Rule 220. Therefore, in an amended complaint, as in an original complaint, each claim and the involvement of each defendant must be sufficiently alleged. The First Amended Complaint should be clearly and boldly titled "First Amended Complaint," refer to the appropriate case number, and be an original signed under penalty of perjury.

## III. CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff is informed that he has leave to amend the complaint once as a matter of course;
- 2. This order resolves Plaintiff's motion to amend the complaint, filed on December 3, 2014;
- 3. Within thirty (30) days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff shall file a First Amended Complaint using the court's form;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28

- 4. The First Amended Complaint should be clearly and boldly titled "First Amended Complaint," refer to case number 1:14-cv-01823-GSA-PC, and be an original signed under penalty of perjury;
- 5. The Clerk of the Court shall send one civil rights complaint form to Plaintiff; and
- 6. Plaintiff is warned that the failure to comply with this order may result in the dismissal of this action for failure to obey a court order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 5, 2014 /s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE