1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	LATOYA JOHNSON,) Case No.: 1:14-cv-01841 - JLT
12	Plaintiff,) ORDER TO THE PARTIES TO SHOW CAUSE
13	v.) WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED) FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE
14	GOLDEN EMPIRE TRANSIT DISTRICT and TODDASH KIM,) COURT'S ORDER
15	Defendants)
16		<u></u>
17	Previously, the Court was notified that "[t]he entire case has been settled." (Doc. 53)	
18	Accordingly, the Court directed the parties to file a stipulated request for dismissal no later than	
19	November 18, 2016. (Doc. 54) The parties were informed that "failure to comply with this order may	
20	result in the Court imposing sanctions, including the dismissal of the action." (Id. at 1, emphasis	
21	omitted.) To date, the parties have failed to comply with or otherwise respond to the Court's order.	
22	The Local Rules, corresponding with Fed. R. Civ. P. 11, provide: "Failure of counsel or of a	
23	party to comply with any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any	
24	and all sanctions within the inherent power of the Court." Local Rule 110. "District courts have	
25	inherent power to control their dockets," and in exercising that power, a court may impose sanctions	

including dismissal of an action. Thompson v. Housing Authority of Los Angeles, 782 F.2d 829, 831 27 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action with prejudice, based on a party's failure to prosecute 28 an action or failure to obey a court order, or failure to comply with local rules. See, e.g. Ferdik v.

26

1	Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (dismissal for failure to comply with an order);	
2	Malone v. U.S. Postal Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987) (dismissal for failure to comply with	
3	a court order); Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (dismissal for failure to	
4	prosecute and to comply with local rules).	
5	Accordingly, the parties are ORDERED to show cause within fourteen days of the date of	
6	service of this Order why the action should not be dismissed or monetary sanctions imposed for their	
7	failure comply with the Court's order or to file a stipulated request for dismissal.	
8		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated: November 21, 2016 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston	
11	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
24 25		
23 26		
20		
28		
_0		
	2	