| 1  |  |                                      |
|----|--|--------------------------------------|
| 2  |  |                                      |
| 3  |  |                                      |
| 4  |  |                                      |
| 5  |  |                                      |
| 6  |  |                                      |
| 7  |  |                                      |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT   |                                      |
| 9  | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA   |                                      |
| 10 |  | 2 02 0.222 024 (22                   |
| 11 | CYNTHIA Y. MOORE,  | Case No. 1:14-cv-01843-EPG           |
| 12 | Plaintiff,   | ORDER RE: REQUEST FOR STATUS OF CASE |
| 13 | v.   | (ECF No. 21)                         |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,   | (2011(0.21)                          |
| 15 | Defendant.   |                                      |
| 16 | Defendant.   |                                      |
| 17 | Plaintiff is proceeding pro se in an action seeking judicial review of an administrative         |                                      |
| 18 | decision by the Commissioner of Social Security. On March 22, 2016, Plaintiff filed a request    |                                      |
| 19 | for a status update in her case. (ECF No. 21.) The Court is generally unable to respond to       |                                      |
| 20 | individual inquiries regarding the status of a case. The Court observes, however, that Plaintiff |                                      |
| 21 | was previously served with an informational order on August 25, 2015 that details the process of |                                      |
| 22 | challenging a decision by the Social Security Administration. Plaintiff was also served with a   |                                      |
| 23 | scheduling order on August 25, 2015 (ECF No. 11) that laid out the deadlines in this case.       |                                      |
| 24 | //   |                                      |
| 25 | //   |                                      |
| 26 | //   |                                      |
| 27 | //   |                                      |
| 28 |  |                                      |

Plaintiff is advised to carefully review both orders to determine what steps she should be taking to prosecute her case. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: March 23, 2016