

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 PABLO A. MEDINA,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 J. LOPEZ,

15 Defendant.
16

1:14-cv-01850-GSA (PC)

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

(Document# 6)

17 On December 12, 2014, plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel.
18 Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, Rand v. Rowland,
19 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the court cannot require an attorney to represent
20 plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court for the
21 Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). However, in certain
22 exceptional circumstances the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to
23 section 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525.

24 Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the court will seek
25 volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether
26 “exceptional circumstances exist, the district court must evaluate both the likelihood of success of
27 the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims *pro se* in light of the
28 complexity of the legal issues involved.” Id. (internal quotation marks and citations omitted).

1 In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. At this
2 early stage in the proceedings, the court cannot make a determination that plaintiff is likely to
3 succeed on the merits. Plaintiff's amended complaint, filed on December 12, 2014, awaits
4 screening by the court. (Doc. 5.) Until the amended complaint is screened and the court finds
5 that Plaintiff states cognizable claims, service of process shall not be initiated upon the
6 defendants. Moreover, based on a review of the record in this case, the court does not find that
7 plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims. See id. Plaintiff argues that he is unable to take
8 his psychiatric medications, due to prison politics, and his comprehension is impaired. While
9 these conditions make litigation challenging, they do not amount to exceptional circumstances
10 under the law. The court is faced with similar cases daily. Moreover, the legal issues involved in
11 Plaintiff's claim for failure to protect under the Eighth Amendment are not complex. Therefore,
12 Plaintiff's motion shall be denied without prejudice to renewal of the motion at a later stage of the
13 proceedings.

14 For the foregoing reasons, plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY
15 DENIED, without prejudice.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 Dated: December 17, 2014

/s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE