1	JOSEPH H. HUNT			
2	Assistant Attorney General			
	Civil Division			
3	WILLIAM C. PEACHEY Director			
4	District Court Section			
5	Office of Immigration Litigation			
	ANTHONY D. BIANCO Senior Litigation Counsel			
6	Office of Immigration Litigation			
7	Civil Division			
8	United States Department of Justice			
9	P.O. Box 868, Ben Franklin Station Washington, DC 20044			
	Telephone: (202) 305-8014			
10	E-mail: anthony.d.bianco@usdoj.gov			
11	Attorneys for Defendants			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13				
14) Case No.: 1:14-cv-01875-LJO-SKO		
15	SEANLIM YITH and SEAK LEANG YITH,) STIPULATION AND ORDER		
16	Dia:at:ff) AMENDING SCHEDULING ORDER		
17	Plaintiffs,	<i>)</i>)		
18	v.)		
19	KEVIN K. MCALEENAN, in his Capacity))		
	as Acting Secretary of Homeland Security, ¹	(Doc. 95)		
20	et al.,)		
21	Defendants.))		
22				
23	The parties hereby stipulate and respectfully request that the Court consent to amending			
24	the Scheduling Order, Doc. No. 93, to extend the non-expert discovery deadline by 45 days ² and			
25				
26	¹ Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(d), Kevin K. Mc	Aleenan is automatically substituted as Acting		
27	Secretary of Homeland Security for his predecessor in office, effective April 10, 2019. The parties have also proposed to extend the expert discovery deadlines to accommodate their proposed non-expert discovery extension, but by less than the 45-day period requested for the non-expert discovery extension to ultimately shorten the length of time requested for the			
28				
28				

the non-dispositive and dispositive motions dates by 14 days, for good cause, and in support state:

- 1. On December 13, 2018, the Court issued a scheduling order setting the following discovery deadlines: non-expert discovery complete by May 31, 2019; expert disclosures complete by June 7, 2019; rebuttal expert disclosures complete by June 14, 2019; and expert discovery complete by June 30, 2019. Doc. No. 93. The Court further set a deadline of July 31, 2019, for non-dispositive and dispositive motions. *Id*.
- 2. To date, the parties have engaged in active discovery, including production of hundreds of pages of documents and the deposition of eight witnesses. Furthermore, there are outstanding discovery disputes that the parties are actively attempting to resolve without the need for the Court's intervention.
- 3. The parties intend on conducting additional depositions of witnesses and the government intends on providing additional disclosures and production of documents to Plaintiffs, which is expected, in turn, to require additional discovery.
- 4. The parties agree that the additional time requested is necessary to allow the parties to complete discovery, and propose the following amended discovery schedule: non-expert discovery complete by July 15, 2019; expert disclosures due by July 19, 2019; rebuttal expert disclosures due by July 26, 2019; and expert discovery complete by August 9, 2019.
- 5. The parties also propose that non-dispositive and dispositive motion deadlines be extended 14 days to August 14, 2019, in order to not disrupt the dates set thereafter in the Scheduling Order. The parties do not wish to cause a change to the trial date.
- 6. This request is not made for any improper purpose or to create unnecessary delay, but rather to facilitate full and complete discovery in this case.

* * *

For the forgoing reasons, the parties hereby request that the Court modify its Scheduling Order as follows: non-expert discovery complete by July 15, 2019; expert disclosures due by

extensions to the motions deadlines. The parties believe that any expert discovery can be accomplished within the timeframe proposed herein.

1	July 19, 2019; rebuttal expert disclosures due by July 26, 2019; expert discovery complete by		
2	August 9, 2019; and non-dispositive and dispositive motions due by August 14, 2019.		
3			
4			
5			
6	Dated: May 8, 2019	Respectfully submitted,	
7	/s/ Bruce Leichty (with consent)	JOSEPH H. HUNT	
8	BRUCE LEICHTY	Assistant Attorney General	
0	Bruce Leichty, A Professional Corporation	Civil Division	
9	264 Clovis Avenue	CIVII DIVISION	
10	Clovis, CA 93612	WILLIAM C. PEACHEY	
	Telephone: (559) 298-5900	Director	
11	E-mail: leichty@sbcglobal.net	District Court Section	
12	A44	Office of Immigration Litigation	
12	Attorney for Plaintiffs	TIMOTHY M. BELSAN	
13		Chief	
14		Cinei	
15		/s/ Anthony D. Bianco	
		ANTHONY D. BIANCO Senior Litigation Counsel	
16		Office of Immigration Litigation	
17		Civil Division	
18		United States Department of Justice P.O. Box 868, Ben Franklin Station	
19		Washington, DC 20044	
		Telephone: (202) 305-8014	
20		E-mail: anthony.d.bianco@usdoj.gov	
21		Attorneys for Defendants	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

ORDER

The Court, having considered the parties above Stipulation to Amend Scheduling Order to Extend Discovery (Doc. 95), and for good cause shown, hereby GRANTS an extension of discovery and other pretrial dates as follows:

Event	Prior Date	Continued Date
Non-Expert Discovery Completion	May 31, 2019	July 15, 2019
Expert Disclosures	June 7, 2019	July 19, 2019
Rebuttal Expert Disclosures	June 14, 2019	July 26, 2019
Expert Discovery Completion	June 30, 2019	August 9, 2019
Non-Dispositive Motion Filing	July 31, 2019	August 14, 2019
Non-Dispositive Motion Hearing	September 4, 2019	September 11, 2019
Dispositive Motion Filing	July 31, 2019	August 14, 2019
Dispositive Motion Hearing	September 5, 2019	September 19, 2019

All other dates in the Scheduling Order (Doc. 93), remain unchanged.

The Court also advises the parties that there is no more room in the schedule to further extend any other pretrial dates without moving the trial date. As such, this is the only stipulation to modify the Scheduling Order that the Court will entertain.

IT IS SO ORDERED.