1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 IRVIN VAN BUREN, No. 1:14-cv-01894-DAD-MJS 12 Plaintiff. 13 v. ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DEN 14 C. WADDLE, et al., MOTION REQUESTING COURT ORDER FOR ACCESS TO THE LAW LIBRA 15 Defendants. (Doc. Nos. 56, 57) 16 17 18 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights 19 action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States 20 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. The case is 21 proceeding on plaintiff's first amended complaint ("FAC") against defendants Neibert, Ronquillo, 22 and Walinga for the alleged excessive use of force under the Eighth Amendment, and against defendant Waddle for excessive use of force and failure to protect under the Eighth Amendment. 23 24 (Doc. No. 7.) On August 30, 2016, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations 25 26 recommending the denial of plaintiff's motion for an order directing that he receive access to the 27 law library at his institution of confinement, which was construed as a motion seeking injunctive

relief. (Doc. Nos. 56, 57.) The magistrate judge granted plaintiff fourteen days to file any

28

objections to this recommendation. To date, no objections have been filed, and the time in which to do so has passed. In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, the undersigned has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the undersigned finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. Accordingly: 1. The undersigned adopts in full the findings and recommendations filed on August 30, 2016 (Doc. No. 57); and 2. Plaintiff's motion for an order directing that he be provided the requested access to the law library (Doc. No. 56) is denied. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: November 2, 2016