1 2 3 4 5 <u>6</u> 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 IRVIN VAN BUREN. CASE NO. 1:14-cv-01894-DAD-MJS (PC) 12 Plaintiff, ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR CLARIFICATION 13 ٧. (ECF No. 91) 14 C. WADDLE, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 Plaintiff is a prisoner proceeding with counsel and in forma pauperis in this civil 19 rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Trial is set to begin on this matter on 20 September 26, 2017. (ECF No. 84.) 21 In its trial scheduling order, the Court noted that the action proceeds on Plaintiff's 22 excessive force claim against Defendants Neibert, Ronquillo, and Walinga. On May 18, 23 2017, Defendants sought clarification that Plaintiff's excessive force claim against 24 Defendant Waddle would proceed as well since Defendant Waddle had not been 25 terminated from the action. (ECF No. 91.) 26 Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment failure to protect claim against Defendant Waddle

was dismissed on March 16, 2017 pursuant to her motion for summary judgment. (ECF

27

28

1	No. 83.) Defendants are correct that Plaintiff's excessive force claim against Defendan
2	Waddle remains active. (ECF No. 91.) Therefore, the trial will also proceed agains
3	Defendant Waddle on Plaintiff's excessive force claim.
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated: May 19, 2017 Isl Michael J. Seng
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	