

1 reviewed the applications and finds Plaintiff satisfies the requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).
2 Therefore, Plaintiff’s motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* is **GRANTED**.

3 **II. Screening Requirement**

4 When an individual seeks to proceed *in forma pauperis*, the Court is required to review the
5 complaint and shall dismiss a complaint, or portion of the complaint, if it is “frivolous, malicious or
6 fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted; or . . . seeks monetary relief from a defendant
7 who is immune from such relief.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2). plaintiff’s claim is
8 frivolous “when the facts alleged rise to the level of the irrational or the wholly incredible, whether or
9 not there are judicially noticeable facts available to contradict them.” *Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S.
10 25, 32-33 (1992).

11 **III. Pleading Standards**

12 General rules for pleading complaints are governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. A
13 pleading must include a statement affirming the court’s jurisdiction, “a short and plain statement of the
14 claim showing the pleader is entitled to relief; and . . . a demand for the relief sought, which may
15 include relief in the alternative or different types of relief.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a).

16 A complaint must give fair notice and state the elements of the plaintiff’s claim in a plain and
17 succinct manner. *Jones v. Cmty Redevelopment Agency*, 733 F.2d 646, 649 (9th Cir. 1984). The
18 purpose of the complaint is to give the defendant fair notice of the claims against him, and the grounds
19 upon which the complaint stands. *Swierkiewicz v. Sorema N.A.*, 534 U.S. 506, 512 (2002). The
20 Supreme Court noted,

21 Rule 8 does not require detailed factual allegations, but it demands more than an
22 unadorned, the-defendant-unlawfully-harmed-me accusation. A pleading that offers
23 labels and conclusions or a formulaic recitation of the elements of a cause of action will
24 not do. Nor does a complaint suffice if it tenders naked assertions devoid of further
25 factual enhancement.
26 *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678-79 (2009) (internal quotation marks and citations omitted). Vague
27 and conclusory allegations do not support a cause of action. *Ivey v. Board of Regents*, 673 F.2d 266,
28 268 (9th Cir. 1982). The Court clarified further,

[A] complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to “state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face.” [Citation]. A claim has facial plausibility when the plaintiff pleads factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged. [Citation]. The

1 plausibility standard is not akin to a “probability requirement,” but it asks for more than
2 a sheer possibility that a defendant has acted unlawfully. [Citation]. Where a complaint
3 pleads facts that are “merely consistent with” a defendant’s liability, it “stops short of
4 the line between possibility and plausibility of ‘entitlement to relief.’

4 *Iqbal*, 556 U.S. at 679 (citations omitted). When factual allegations are well-pled, a court should
5 assume their truth and determine whether the facts would make the plaintiff entitled to relief; legal
6 conclusions are not entitled to the same assumption of truth. *Id.* The Court may grant leave to amend a
7 complaint to the extent deficiencies of the complaint can be cured by an amendment. *Lopez v. Smith*,
8 203 F.3d 1122, 1127-28 (9th Cir. 2000) (en banc).

9 **IV. Discussion and Analysis**

10 Plaintiff seeks review of a decision by the Commissioner of Social Security denying disability
11 benefits. (Doc. 1). The Court would have jurisdiction pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), which provides
12 in relevant part:

13 Any individual, after any final decision of the Commissioner made after a hearing to
14 which he was a party, irrespective of the amount in controversy, may obtain a review of
15 such decision by a civil action commenced within sixty days after the mailing to him of
16 such decision or within such further time as the Commissioner may allow. Such action
17 shall be brought in the district court of the United States for the judicial district in
18 which the plaintiff resides, or has his principal place of business . . . The court shall
19 have power to enter, upon the pleadings and transcript of the record, a judgment
20 affirming, modifying, or reversing the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security,
21 with or without remanding the cause for a rehearing.

19 *Id.* (emphasis added). Except as provided by statute, “[n]o findings of fact or decision of the
20 Commissioner shall be reviewed by any person, tribunal, or governmental agency.” 42 U.S.C. §
21 405(h). The Supreme Court noted the purpose of the legislation was “to forestall repetitive or belated
22 litigation of stale eligibility claims.” *Califano v. Sanders*, 430 U.S. 99, 108 (1977).

23 Here, Plaintiff alleges that the Appeals Council denied Plaintiff’s request for review on June
24 30, 2014, at which time the decision of the administrative law judge became the decision of the
25 Commissioner. (Doc. 1 at 1.) Plaintiff requested an extension of time to file an action for judicial
26 review, which was granted by the Appeals Council on November 10, 2014. (*Id.*) Thus, Plaintiff seeks
27 timely judicial review, and the Court has jurisdiction over the matter pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

28 ///

1 **V. Conclusion and Order**

2 Plaintiff's complaint states a cognizable claim for review of the administrative decision denying
3 Social Security benefits. Based upon the foregoing, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- 4 1. Plaintiff's motions to proceed *in forma pauperis* (Docs. 2 and 4) are **GRANTED**;
- 5 2. The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to issue summons as to the defendant, Carolyn
6 Colvin, Acting Commissioner of Social Security;
- 7 3. The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to issue and serve Plaintiff with Social Security Case
8 Documents, including the Scheduling Order, Order regarding Consent, the Consent
9 Form, and USM-285 Forms; and
- 10 4. The U.S. Marshal is DIRECTED to serve a copy of the complaint, summons, and this
11 order upon the defendant as directed by Plaintiff in the USM Forms.

12
13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 Dated: December 30, 2014

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE