| 1 | | |
|----|---|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 7 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | VINCE WILLIAMS, | No. 1:14-cv-01912-LJO-JLT (PC) |
| 10 | Plaintiff, | ORDERADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS DISMISSING |
| 11 | v. | ACTION WITH PREJUDICE FOR PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE TO COMPLY |
| 12 | CDCR DEPARTMENT CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATIONS, et al., | WITH THE COURT'S ORDER, TO PROSECUTE, AND TO STATE A CLAIM |
| 13 | Defendants. | (Docs. 28, 29) |
| 14 | | STRIKE PER 425 U.S.C. § 1915(g) |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | Plaintiff, Vince Williams, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed | |
| 17 | this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on July 22, 2014. The matter was referred to | |
| 18 | a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On | |
| 19 | January 12, 2016, the Magistrate Judge issued a findings and recommendation that this action be | |
| 20 | dismissed with prejudice because of Plaintiff's failure both to obey a court order and to prosecute | |
| 21 | this action and that Plaintiff be given a strike under 42 U.S.C. § 1915(g) since he failed to state a | |
| 22 | cognizable claim. (Doc. 29.) The findings and recommendations issued that same date and gave | |
| 23 | plaintiff thirty days to file objections. (Id.) Plaintiff did not file any objections. | |
| 24 | In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a | |
| 25 | de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the | |
| 26 | Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis. | |
| 27 | /// | |
| 28 | // | 1 |
| | | |
| | | |

| 1 | Accordingly: | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | 1. the Findings and Recommendations, issued on January 12, 2016 (Doc. 29), is adopted | |
| 3 | in full; | |
| 4 | 2. this action is dismissed with prejudice for Plaintiff's failure to state a claim, failure to | |
| 5 | obey a court order, and failure to prosecute this action; | |
| 6 | 3. dismissal of this action counts as a strike pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g); and | |
| 7 | 4. the Clerk of the Court is directed to close this action. | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 10 | Dated: March 1, 2016 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill | |
| 11 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | 2 | |
| | \angle | |