1	Tanya E. Moore, SBN 206683 MISSION LAW FIRM, A.P.C.				
2	332 North Second Street San Jose, California 95112				
3	Telephone: (408) 298-2000 Facsimile: (408) 298-6046				
4	Email: service@mission.legal				
5	Attorney for Plaintiff, Robert Feiger				
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	ROBERT FEIGER,	No. 1:14-cv-01920-DAD-EPG			
12	Plaintiff,	) STIPULATION TO AMEND			
13	VS.	SCHEDULING ORDER; ORDER			
14	MARLENE SMITH, et al.,	) )			
15	Defendants.	) )			
16	Defendants.	) )			
17	Plaintiff, Robert Feiger ("Plaintiff")	, and Defendants, Natalie Clark, Marlene			
18	Robicheaux-Smith, and Antoneya Graves ("I	Defendants," and together with Plaintiff, "the			
19	Parties"), together request that the Court amend	the Scheduling Order as follows:			
20	WHEREAS, attorney Tanya Moore of	the Mission Law Firm, A.P.C., was appointed			
21	pro bono counsel for Plaintiff on April 4, 2017 (Dkt. 73);				
22	WHEREAS, a telephonic Discovery Sta	atus Conference is set for August 7, 2017 in this			
23	matter;				
24	WHEREAS, Plaintiff's counsel has req	uired time to review the voluminous documents			
25	in the case, and meeting and communicating with Plaintiff has taken Plaintiff's counsel				
26	significant time due to Plaintiff's incarceration, such that Plaintiff will be unable to conduct the				
27	necessary discovery in time to comply with the current deadlines set by this Court's				
28	Scheduling Order, dated March 9, 2017 (Dkt. 65);				

1	NOW, THEREFORE	, THE PARTIES, THRO	OUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE
2	COUNSEL, HEREBY STIP	ULATE AND AGREE to	amend the Scheduling Order as
3	follows:		
4	<u>Event</u>	Current Date	New Date
5	Non-expert discovery cutoff	September 29, 2017	November 10, 2017
6	Expert discovery cutoff	December 20, 2017	January 31, 2018
7 8	Expert disclosures	October 31, 2017	December 12, 2017
9	Rebuttal expert disclosures	November 30, 2017	January 11, 2018
10	Dispositive motion filing deadline	February 28, 2018	April 11, 2018
11 12	The Parties additionally stipulate to continue the Discovery Status Conference set for		
13	August 7, 2017, to a date on or around October 16, 2017 at the Court's convenience.		
14	All other requirements set forth in the Scheduling Order relating to the above shall		
15	remain unchanged, including the pre-trial and trial dates.		
16	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
17	Dated: July 13, 2017	MISSION LAW	V FIRM, A.P.C.
18		/s/ Tanya E. Mo	·
19		Tanya E. Moore Attorney for Pla	
20		Robert Feiger	
21	Dated: July 13, 2017	LeBEAU – TH	ELEN, LLP
22		/s/ Thomas P. F	<u>.</u>
23		Thomas P. Fehe Attorneys for D	
24		Natalie Clark	,
25	Dated: July 13, 2017	RIVERA & AS	SOCIATES
26	Dated. July 13, 2017		
27		<u>/s/ Jonathan B. Paul</u> Jonathan B. Paul	
28		Attorneys for D Marlene Robich	

STIPULATION TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER; ORDER

## **WILLIAMS & ASSOCIATES**

/s/ Martha M. Stringer
Martha M. Stringer
Attorneys for Defendant,
Antoneya Graves

## **ORDER**

The Parties having so stipulated and good cause appearing,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the Scheduling Order, dated March 9, 2017 (ECF No. 65), is amended as follows:

<u>Event</u>	Current Date	New Date
Non-expert discovery cutoff	September 29, 2017	November 10, 2017
Expert discovery cutoff	December 20, 2017	January 31, 2018
Expert disclosures	October 31, 2017	December 12, 2017
Rebuttal expert disclosures	November 30, 2017	January 11, 2018
Dispositive motion filing deadline	February 28, 2018	April 11, 2018

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Telephonic Discovery Status Conference currently set for August 7, 2017, is continued to October 19, 2017, at 2:00 p.m. in Courtroom 10 before Magistrate Judge Erica P. Grosjean. The Parties have leave to appear by phone. To join the conference, each party is directed to call the toll-free number (888) 251–2909 and use Access Code 1024453. Up until two weeks before the discovery conference, the parties may file a motion to compel further discovery responses. One week before the discovery conference, the responding party may file a response to the motion to compel. The motion should include a copy of the request(s) and any response to the request(s) at issue. Unless there is a need for discovery prior to the discovery conference, motions to compel will not be considered until the discovery conference. Motions to compel will not be permitted after the discovery conference absent good cause. The parties should be prepared to address all

1	discovery disputes at the discovery conference.			
2	All other requirements set forth in the Scheduling Order relating to the above shall			
3	remain unchanged, including the pre-trial and trial dates.			
4	These revised dates should be considered as firm. No further extensions of these			
5	deadlines will be granted absent a showing of good cause and a scheduling conference with th			
6	Court.			
7				
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
9	Date de July 14 2017	Isl Encir P. Grong		
10	Dated: <b>July 14, 2017</b>	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				