

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ALEXANDER TRESTRAIL,
Plaintiff,
v.
CLIFF ALLENBY, et al.,
Defendants.

CASE NO. 1:14-cv-1930-MJS (PC)

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION
SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR
FAILURE TO OBEY A COURT ORDER,
FAILURE TO FILE APPLICATION TO
PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS, AND
FAILURE TO PAY FILING FEE**

(ECF No. 7)

FOURTEEN (14) DAY DEADLINE

Plaintiff is a civil detainee proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On December 17, 2014, the Court ordered Plaintiff to submit an application to proceed in forma pauperis or to submit the \$400 filing fee within thirty days. (ECF No. 7.) The thirty day deadline passed without Plaintiff either filing an application to proceed in forma pauperis, paying the \$400 filing fee, or seeking an extension of time to do so.

Local Rule 110 provides that “failure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules or with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court.” District courts have the inherent power to control their dockets and “in the exercise of that power, they may

1 impose sanctions including, where appropriate . . . dismissal of a case.” Thompson v.
2 Housing Auth., 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may dismiss an action based
3 on a party’s failure to prosecute, failure to obey a court order, or failure to comply with
4 local rules. See, e.g., Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d 52, 53-54 (9th Cir. 1995) (dismissal for
5 noncompliance with local rule); Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir.
6 1992) (dismissal for failure to comply with an order requiring amendment of a complaint);
7 Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for failure to comply
8 with local rule requiring pro se plaintiffs to keep court apprised of address); Malone v.
9 U.S. Postal Service, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987) (dismissal for failure to comply
10 with a court order); Henderson v. Duncan, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986)
11 (dismissal for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with local rules).

12 In determining whether to dismiss an action for lack of prosecution, failure to obey
13 a court order, or failure to comply with local rules, the Court must consider several
14 factors: (1) the public’s interest in expeditious resolution of litigation, (2) the Court’s need
15 to manage its docket, (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants, (4) the public policy
16 favoring disposition of cases on their merits, and (5) the availability of less drastic
17 alternatives. Thompson, 782 F.2d at 831; Henderson, 779 F.2d at 1423-24; Malone, 833
18 F.2d at 130; Ferdik, 963 F.2d at 1260-61; Ghazali, 46 F.3d at 53.

19 In the instant case, the public’s interest in expeditiously resolving this litigation
20 and the Court’s interest in managing its docket weigh in favor of dismissal. The third
21 factor, risk of prejudice to Defendants, also weighs in favor of dismissal, since a
22 presumption of injury arises from the occurrence of unreasonable delay in prosecuting
23 this action. Anderson v. Air West, 542 F.2d 522, 524 (9th Cir. 1976). The fourth factor –
24 public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits – is greatly outweighed by the
25 factors in favor of dismissal discussed herein. Finally, as for the availability of lesser
26 sanctions, at this stage in the proceedings there is little available which would constitute
27 a satisfactory lesser sanction while preserving scarce Court resources. Plaintiff has not
28 paid the filing fee for this action and is likely unable to pay, making monetary sanctions

1 of little use.

2 Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 3 1. Within fourteen (14) days of service of this Order, Plaintiff shall either show
4 cause as to why this action should not be dismissed with prejudice for
5 failure to comply with the Court's order (ECF No. 7), file an application to
6 proceed in forma pauperis on the appropriate form, or pay the \$400 filing
7 fee in full;
- 8 2. If Plaintiff fails to show cause, file an application to proceed in forma
9 pauperis, or pay the \$400 filing fee in full, the undersigned will recommend
10 that the action be dismissed without prejudice for failure to obey a court
11 order; and
- 12 3. The Clerk's Office shall send to Plaintiff the attached form for application to
13 proceed in forma pauperis **for a non-prisoner**.

14
15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: January 22, 2015

17 /s/ Michael J. Seng
18 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28