1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	EDWARD VINCENT SANDERS,	1:14 -cv-01935-JLT (HC)
12	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
13	v.	(Doc. 13)
14	RON DAVIS,	(Doc. 13)
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel, citing his lack of financial resources.	
18	There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See,	
19	e.g., Anderson v. Heinze, 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773,	
20	774 (8th Cir. 1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of	
21	counsel at any stage of the case if "the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules	
22	Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present case, the Court does not find that the interests of	
23	justice require the appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY	
24	ORDERED that Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is DENIED.	
25		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: December 9, 2014	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDDE