1	Tanya F. Moore, SBN 206683			
2	Tanya E. Moore, SBN 206683 MISSION LAW FIRM, A.P.C. 332 North Second Street			
3	San Jose, California 95112			
4	Telephone: (408) 298-2000 Facsimile: (408) 298-6046 Email: service@mission.legal			
5	Attorney for Plaintiff, Mark Hauseur			
6	Mark Hauseur			
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	MARK HAUSEUR,) No. 1:14-cv-01987-DAD-EPG (PC)		
12	Plaintiff,	 SECOND STIPULATION TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER; ORDER 		
13	v.)))		
14	NATALIE CLARK, et al.,	,))		
15 16	Defendants.)		
10)		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	SECOND STIPLI ATION TO AME	ND SCHEDULING ORDER; ORDER		
		1		

Plaintiff, Mark Hauseur ("Plaintiff"), and Defendants, Natalie Clark, Marlene
 Robicheaux-Smith, and Antoneya Graves ("Defendants," and together with Plaintiff, "the
 Parties"), together request that the Court amend the Scheduling Order as follows:
 WHEREAS, the Parties previously requested an amendment to the Scheduling Order,
 which request was granted on July 14, 2017 (Dkt. 82);

6 WHEREAS, since the initial extension of the Scheduling Order deadlines, the Parties
7 have exchanged extensive written discovery, and the deposition of Plaintiff has been
8 conducted, although additional time to complete the deposition will be required;

9 WHEREAS, as a result of the discovery undertaken, the Parties have engaged in
10 settlement discussions and wish to fully explore and exhaust such settlement discussions before
11 expending additional time completing discovery and consuming judicial resources;

WHEREAS, communication of settlement offers is more time consuming than is traditionally the case given the incarceration of Plaintiff, coupled with the necessary various entities required on Defendants' part to make, respond to, and where appropriate, authorize, settlement offers. Such additional time frustrates the Parties' abilities to promptly explore settlement;

WHEREAS, based on the foregoing, the Parties wish to extend the current deadlines
by thirty days while they explore settlement.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE PARTIES, THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE
 COUNSEL, HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE to amend the Scheduling Order as
 follows:

22	<u>Event</u>	Current Date	New Date
23	Non-expert discovery cutoff	November 10, 2017	December 11, 2017
24	Expert discovery cutoff	January 31, 2018	March 2, 2018
25 26	Expert disclosures	December 12, 2017	January 12, 2018
20 27	Rebuttal expert disclosures	January 11, 2018	February 12, 2018
28	Dispositive motion filing deadline	April 11, 2018	May 11, 2018

SECOND STIPULATION TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER; ORDER

1	The Parties additionally stipulate to continue the Discovery Status Conference set for			
2	October 24, 2017, to a date on or after November 27, 2017 at the Court's convenience.			
3	All other requirements set forth in the Scheduling Order relating to the above shall			
4	remain unchanged, including the pre-ta	remain unchanged, including the pre-trial date of and trial dates.		
5	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
6	Dated: October 3, 2017	MISSION LAW FIRM, A.P.C.		
7		/s/ Tanya E. Moore		
8		Tanya E. Moore		
9		Attorney for Plaintiff, Mark Hauseur		
10		Wark Hauseur		
	Dated: October 4, 2017	LeBEAU – THELEN, LLP		
11		/s/ Thomas P. Feher		
12		Thomas P. Feher Attorneys for Defendant,		
13		Natalie Clark		
14				
15	Dated: October 3, 2017	RIVERA & ASSOCIATES		
16		/s/ Jonathan B. Paul		
17		Jonathan B. Paul Attorneys for Defendant,		
18		Marlene Robicheaux-Smith		
19				
20	Dated: October 3, 2017	WILLIAMS & ASSOCIATES		
21		/s/ Martha M. Stringer		
22		Martha M. Stringer Attorneys for Defendant,		
23		Antoneya Graves		
24				
25 26				
26				
27				
28				
	SECOND STIPULATION	N TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER; ORDER		
		3		

1	ORDER			
2	The Parties having so stipulated and good cause appearing,			
3	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Amended Scheduling Order, dated July 14, 2017			
4	(ECF No. 82), is amended as fo	llows:		
5	Event	Current Date	<u>New Date</u>	
6	Non-expert discovery cutoff	November 10, 2017	December 11, 2017	
7	Expert discovery cutoff	January 31, 2018	March 2, 2018	
8 9	Expert disclosures	December 12, 2017	January 12, 2018	
10	Rebuttal expert disclosures	January 11, 2018	February 12, 2018	
11	Dispositive motion filing	April 11, 2018	May 11, 2018	
12	deadline			
13				
14	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the telephonic Discovery Status Conference			
15	currently set for October 24, 2017 is continued to December 6, 2017, at 2:00 p.m., in			
16	Courtroom 10 before Magistrate Judge Erica P. Grosjean. The Parties have leave to appear by			
17	phone. To join the conference, each party is directed to call the toll-free number (888)			
18	251–2909 and use Access Code 1024453. Up until two weeks before the discovery			
19	conference, the parties may file a motion to compel further discovery responses. One week			
20	before the discovery conference, the responding party may file a response to the motion to			
21	compel. The motion should include a copy of the request(s) and any response to the request(s)			
22	at issue. Unless there is a nee	d for discovery prior to the disc	covery conference, motions to	
23	compel will not be considered	until the discovery conference.	Motions to compel will not be	
24	permitted after the discovery conference absent good cause. The parties should be prepared to			
25	address all discovery disputes a	t the discovery conference.		
26	///			
27	///			
28	///			
	SECOND STIDI	LATION TO AMEND SCHEDULING		
		4	ONDER, ONDER	
		7		

1	All other requirements set forth in the Scheduling Order relating to the shows shall		
1 2	All other requirements set forth in the Scheduling Order relating to the above shall		
2	remain unchanged, including the pre-trial and trial dates.		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
4 5			
6	Dated: October 5, 2017 /s/ Encir P. Group		
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	SECOND STIPULATION TO AMEND SCHEDULING ORDER; ORDER		
	5		