

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**JAMES LEOS,**  
Plaintiff,  
**v.**  
**C. RASEY, et al.,**  
Defendants.

Case No. 1:14-cv-02029-LJO-JLT  
**ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS’  
MOTION FOR A STAY OF DISCOVERY**  
**(Doc. 26)**

In their request, Defendants note that they are preparing a motion for summary judgment based upon their claim that Plaintiff has failed to exhaust his administrative remedies and has failed to comply with California’s Tort Claims Act. (Doc. 26 at 1-2) Defendants believe this motion will dispose of most of the claims and which will allow streamlined discovery directed toward only to the remaining topics. *Id.* Good cause appearing, the Court **ORDERS:**

1. Defendant’ motion for summary judgment SHALL be filed no later than March 29, 2016;
2. Pending a determination on the merits of Defendants’ motion, discovery action is stayed. **Except for discovery requests that address exhaustion of the administrative remedies or compliance with California’s Tort Claims Act,** the

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

parties **SHALL NOT** be obligated to respond to any pending discovery requests,  
and no further discovery requests may be propounded until the stay is lifted;

3. The Court will issue an amended Discovery and Scheduling Order when it lifts the  
discovery stay.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 17, 2016

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE